#### RESOLUTION 100 - 2023 ACT 122 - 2023

## HONORABLE BOARD OF LEGISLATORS THE COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

Your Committee is in receipt of a transmittal from the County Executive in which the County Executive states that the Commissioner of Environmental Facilities has advised him that the Town of Mt. Pleasant (the "Town") has requested, pursuant to the attached Resolution of the Town, that the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District (the "District") be modified to remove one (1) parcel of property from the District, which parcel is more particularly described by street address and tax map designation as: 5 Charles Court, Section 91.17, Block 1, Lot 8.4 (the "Parcel"). The removal of the Parcel from the District is being requested because the Parcel is not serviced by sanitary sewers and it is not anticipated that sanitary sewers will be constructed for the Parcel in the foreseeable future.

Your Committee is informed that the attached Feasibility Report prepared by the Department of Environmental Facilities ("Feasibility Report") dated April 28, 2023 indicates that the proposed removal of the Parcels represents a net decrease of 0.0063% to the Equalized Full Value of the District. Therefore, the removal of the Parcel will not cause significant changes in the tax rate of the District.

According to the Department of Environmental Facilities, the proposal to remove the Parcel is feasible because: (1) the proposed change was requested by the Town; (2) the subject change requires no engineering modifications to the District facilities and there is no impact on the County facilities because the Parcel was never connected to the sewerage system; (3) the subject change removes from ad valorem taxation a property that has not benefited and foreseeably will not benefit from connection to District facilities based on information received from the Town; (4) the subject change frees reserve capacity at the District treatment plant for future enlargement of the District from surrounding areas without the capital costs of expanding treatment facilities; (5) the subject Parcel, once removed from the District, will be required to petition the County to re-enter the District and the County is not obligated to reserve any capacity for the Parcel once it has been removed; and (6) the subject Parcel was reviewed by the Westchester County Health Department.

Your Committee notes that Chapter 237.131 of the County Administrative Code authorizes the Board of Legislators (the "Board") to alter or change the sewer districts. However, the Board may only alter or change the districts after a public hearing is held thereon by the Board, upon notice thereof given by publication in such manner and for such time as the Board shall direct. Therefore, attached hereto is a Resolution which will authorize Legal Notice for the public hearing as required by the Administrative Code.

Your Committee is advised that the removal of the Parcel would constitute an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its implementing regulations 6 NYCRR, Part 617 ("SEQR"), which requires an appropriate environmental review. Your Committee has carefully considered the proposed legislation. It has reviewed the attached Short Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and the criteria contained in Section 617.7 of Title 6 of the New York State Code of Rules and Regulations, the SEQR regulations, to identify the relevant areas of environmental concern. For the reasons set forth in the attached EAF, your Committee believes that the proposed action will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment and urges your Honorable Board to adopt the annexed resolution by which this Board would issue a Negative Declaration for this proposed action.

Based on the above facts, the Feasibility Report prepared by the Department of Environmental Facilities and the review by the Planning Department, your Committee concurs with the recommendation of the County Executive and recommends your Honorable Board adopt the annexed Resolution which will authorize Legal Notice for the public hearing which is required by the Administrative Code in such matters. After such hearing, your Honorable Board is urged to adopt the annexed Act which accomplishes the removal of said Parcel from the District. It should be noted that a vote of not less than a majority of the voting strength of the Board of Legislators is required to pass this Act.

Dated: June 20 , 2023 White Plains, New York

Sen Julist Strange Ban Marcy & Ban Vedet Jacki


Callen and Dovid I Tubibo Selle Mancy & Barr Vedat Pari Celle Bake Devid & Subob Henry & Belle & Sohy Warrey & Barrey Vedat Gali

Budget & Appropriations

Public Works \* Transportation Environment, Energy & Climate

### **FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

| UBJECT: 5 Charles Cou | urt, Saw Mill SSD, Mt. Pleasant        | X NO FISCAL IMPACT PROJECTED           |
|-----------------------|--|--|
|                       | OPERATING BUDGET                       |  |
|                       | To Be Completed by Submitting Departme |  |
|                       | SECTION A - FUI                        |  |
| GENERAL FUND          | AIRPORT FUND                           | X SPECIAL DISTRICTS FUND               |
|                       | SECTION B - EXPENSES AN                | D REVENUES                             |
| Total Current Year E  | xpense \$ -                            | _                                      |
| Total Current Year R  | evenue \$ -                            | _                                      |
| Source of Funds (che  | ck one): X Current Appropriations      | Transfer of Existing Appropriations    |
| Additional Appr       | opriations                             | Other (explain)                        |
| Identify Accounts:    |  |  |
| ,                     |  |  |
|                       | -                                      |  |
| Potential Related Op  | perating Budget Expenses:              | Annual Amount \$ -                     |
| Describe:             | None. Parcel is not connected to publ  | ic sanitary sewer.                     |
|                       |  |  |
|                       |  |  |
|                       |  | <u> </u>                               |
| Potential Related Op  | perating Budget Revenues:              | Annual Amount \$ -                     |
| Describe:             | Parcel represents 0.0063% of the Full  | Equalized Value of the Blind Brook SSD |
|                       |  |  |
|                       |  |  |
| Anticipated Savings   | to County and/or Impact on Departmer   | nt Operations:                         |
| Current Year:         | to county and/or impact on Departmen   | it Operations.                         |
| Current Year:         |  | <del></del>                            |
|                       |  |  |
| Next Four Years       | ·                                      |  |
|                       |  |  |
|                       |  |  |
|                       |  | 1                                      |
| Prepared by:          | CJ Gelardo, P.E.                       |  |
| Title:                | Associate Engineer                     | Reviewed By:                           |
|                       | Environmental Facilities               | Budget Director                        |
| Department:           |  |  |
| Date:                 | April 28, 2023                         | Date: \( \textsquare \)                |



EMILY COSTANZA Town Clerk EXTRACT OF THE MINUTES
OF THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF MOUNT PLEASANT
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NY
HELD DECEMBER 27, 2022

Authorization to Remove 5 Charles Court from County Sewer District with Final Approval Being Granted from Westchester County

**RESOLUTION 476-22** 

Upon motion of Ms. Smalley, seconded by Mr. Sialiano and unanimously carried, it was,

WHEREAS, Mr. & Mrs. Walter McClure, property owners of 5 Charles Court, Chappaqua, have requested removal of their property from the Westchester County Saw Mill Sanitary Sewer District because said property is not serviced by sanitary sewers; and

WHEREAS, the property satisfies all criteria set forth by the Westchester County Department of Environmental Facilities (WCDEF) for removal of a property from a Town tax base;

BE IT RESOLVED: that the Westchester County Board of Legislators is requested to remove the following parcel from the Westchester County Saw Mill Sanitary Sewer District:

Name

SBL

Address

Monica & Walter McClure

91.17-1-8.4

5 Charles Court, Chappagua, NY

VOTE - AYES - Fulgenzi, Schulman, Sialiano, Smalley, Zaino

EMILY COSTANZA

TOWN CLERK

TOWN OF MOUNT PLEASANT

#### COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES

April 28, 2023

FEASIBILITY REPORT IN THE MATTER OF

THE REMOVAL OF A CERTAIN PARCEL

IN THE

SAW MILL VALLEY SANITARY SEWER DISTRICT

TOWN OF MOUNT PLEASANT

**d** 

Vincent Kopicki, P.E.

Commissioner

**Environmental Facilities** 

The Town of Mount Pleasant has petitioned that one (1) property currently included in the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District be removed from the Saw Mill Valley Sewer District.

A. The identification of the property presently within the Saw Mill Sewer District and to be removed is contained on the attached Town Resolution of the Town of Mount Pleasant, Request for Removal from the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District as prepared by the Mount Pleasant Town Clerk. The Town of Mount Pleasant is petitioning to remove said property from the Saw Mill Valley Sewer District. The property to be removed is known as 5 Charles Court, Section 91.17, Block 1, Lot 8.4.

#### B. EFFECT ON SEWER DISTRICT TAX RATE:

Full Equalized Valuations, which are assessed values adjusted for equalization rates, form the basis on which the sewer district tax levies are apportioned by the County Board of Legislators. The following are the full equalized valuations in the 2022 levy pertinent to the subject parcels:

| Full Value of Saw CITIES/TOWNS ASS      | Mill District<br>ESSED VALUES | EQ. PERCENT | FULL VALUE        |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|   |                               |             |                   |
| 3                                       | 10,078,128,479                | 100.00%     | \$10,078,128,479  |
| -Mt. Pleasant-                          |                               |             |                   |
| All except-                             |                               |             |                   |
| (Briarcliff Manor)                      | \$153,799,277                 | 1.31 ម      | \$11,740,402,824  |
| Mt. Pleasant-                           |                               |             |                   |
| Briarcliff Manor                        | \$ 2,683,687                  | 1.31%       | \$ 204,861,603    |
| New Castle                              | \$284,250,932                 | 19.38%      | \$1,466,723,076   |
| -Ossining-                              |                               |             |                   |
| All Except                              |                               |             |                   |
| (Briarcliff Manor)                      | \$114,782,400                 | 100.00%     | \$ 114,782,400    |
| Ossining-                               |                               |             |                   |
| Briarcliff Manor                        | \$978,054,493                 | 100.00%     | \$ 978,054,493    |
| Yonkers                                 | \$ 84,378,344                 | 2.09%       | \$4,037,241,340   |
|   |                               |             |                   |
| TOTAL:                                  |                               |             | \$28,620,194,215  |
| (TOWN OF MOUNT PL                       | EASANT) Total Val             | ue Removed: | (-1,790,076)      |
| , = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = | ,                             |             | <u> </u>          |
| TOTAL FULL VALUE OF                     | F DISTRICT AS AN              | MENDED:     | \$28,618,404,139* |

<sup>\*</sup>Represents a 0.0063% decrease in the FEV of the District

#### C. Summary and Recommendations

The proposal to remove a certain parcel in the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer Districts is feasible because:

- 1. The proposed change was requested by the Town of Mount Pleasant.
- 2. The subject change requires no engineering modifications to the district facilities and there is no impact on the County facilities because this parcel was never connected to the sewerage system.
- 3. The subject change removes from ad valorem taxation a property that has not benefited and foreseeably will not benefit from connection to District facilities based on information received from the Town of Mount Pleasant.
- 4. The subject change frees reserve capacity at the District treatment plant for future enlargement of the District from surrounding areas without the capital costs of expanding treatment facilities.
- 5. The subject parcel once removed from the district will be required to petition the County to re-enter the district. The County is not obligated to reserve any capacity for this parcel once it has been removed.
- 6. The subject parcel was reviewed by the Westchester County Health Department.

FileName: FEAS\_5 Charles Court.docx

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2023 - 100

WHEREAS, there is pending before this Honorable Board an Act to authorize the County to modify the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District (the "District") by removing one (1) parcel of property located in the Town of Mt. Pleasant from the District, which parcel is not currently connected to the County sewer system; and

WHEREAS, this Honorable Board has determined that the proposed removal would constitute an action under Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law, known as the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to SEQR and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617), this project is classified as an "Unlisted" action, which requires this Honorable Board to make a determination as to whether the proposed action will have a significant impact on the environment; and

WHEREAS, the County of Westchester is the only involved agency for this action and, therefore, is assuming the role of Lead Agency; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with SEQR and its implementing regulations, a Short Environmental Assessment Form has been prepared to assist this Honorable Board in its environmental assessment of this proposed action; and

WHEREAS, this Honorable Board has carefully considered the proposed action and has reviewed the attached Short Environmental Assessment Form and the criteria set forth in Section 617.7 of the implementing regulations and has identified the relevant areas of environmental concern, as described in the attached Short Environmental Assessment Form, to determine if this proposed action will have a significant adverse impact on the environment.


**NOW, THEREFORE**, be it resolved by the County Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester, State of New York, as follows:

**RESOLVED**, that based upon this Honorable Board's review of the Short Environmental Assessment Form and the reasons set forth therein, this Board finds that

there will be no significant adverse impact on the environment from the removal of the parcel of property from the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District; and be it further

**RESOLVED**, the Clerk of the Board of Legislators is authorized and directed to sign the Determination of Significance in the Short Environmental Assessment Form, which is attached and made a part hereof, as responsible officer in Lead Agency; to issue this "Negative Declaration" on behalf of this Board in satisfaction of SEQRA; and to immediately transmit same to the Commissioner of Planning to be filed, published and made available pursuant to the requirements of Part 617 of 6 NYCRR; and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately.





TO:

Vincent Kopicki, P.E., Commissioner

Department of Environmental Facilities

FROM:

David S. Kvinge, AICP, RLA, CFM

**Assistant Commissioner** 

DATE:

May 23, 2023

SUBJECT:

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW FOR MODIFICATION OF

SAW MILL VALLEY SANITATRY SEWER DISTRICT – REMOVAL OF

5 CHARLES COURT, TOWN OF MOUNT PLEASANT

In response to your request for an environmental review of the above referenced action, the Planning Department has prepared the attached documentation.

The proposed removal of a parcel from the sewer district has been classified as an Unlisted action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 617 (SEQR). A Short Environmental Assessment Form has been prepared for consideration by the Board of Legislators.

Please contact me if you require any additional information regarding these documents.

DSK/cnm

Att.

cc: Joan McDonald, Director of Operations

Andrew Ferris, Chief of Staff

Paula Friedman, Assistant to the County Executive

Blanca Lopez, Acting Commissioner, Department of Planning

Jeffrey Goldman, Senior Assistant County Attorney

Marian Pompa, Director of Maintenance, Department of Environmental Facilities

C.J. Gelardo, Associate Engineer, Department of Environmental Facilities

Claudia Maxwell, Associate Environmental Planner, Department of Planning

# 617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

#### **Instructions for Completing**

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

| Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information  |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|--------|-------|----------|--|
| Name of Action or Project:  | · . · · · · · |                          |        |       |          |  |
| Removal of 1 Parcel from Saw Mill Sanitary Sewer District   |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):   |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| 5 Charles Court, Chappaqua (Town of Mount Pleasant), Westchester County, New York   | (Section      | 91.17, Block 1, Lot 8.4) |        |       |          |  |
| Brief Description of Proposed Action:   |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| Removal of one parcel from the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District. At the request of the property owner, the Town of Mount Pleasant has petitioned the County to remove the subject parcel from the County sewer district on the basis that the parcel was never connected to the sewerage system and the Town/Village has no plans to extend local sewers to service this area. The parcel is 1.32 acres in size and is/are developed with a single-family residence. The residence is served by an on-site septic system. The proposed district modification will remove from ad valorem taxation, a property that has not, does not, nor is anticipated to receive district benefits. |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| Name of Applicant or Sponsor:   | Telepl        | none: 914-995-4400       |        |       |          |  |
| County of Westchester   |               | 1: dsk2@westchestercou   | ıntyny | .gov  |          |  |
| Address:  |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| 148 Martine Avenue  |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| City/PO:  |               | State:                   | Zip    | Code: |          |  |
| White Plains  |               | NY                       | 1060   | )1    |          |  |
| 1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, le   | ocal lav      | , ordinance,             |        | NO    | YES      |  |
| administrative rule, or regulation?  If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and  | the env       | ironmental resources t   | hat    |       |          |  |
| may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to   | questio       | n 2.                     | nat    |       | <b>V</b> |  |
| 2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any  | other go      | overnmental Agency?      |        | NO    | YES      |  |
| If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:   |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
| 3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?  b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?  c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?  acres  acres  |               |                          |        |       |          |  |
|   | ercial        | Residential (suburt      | ,      |       |          |  |

| 5. Is the proposed action,  | NO   | YES    | N/A |
|---|------|--------|-----|
| a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?  |      |        |     |
| b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?  |      |        |     |
| 6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?   |      | NO     | YES |
| 7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Ar   |      | NO     | YES |
| If Yes, identify:   | ea?  | NO     | TES |
|   |      |        |     |
| 8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?  |      | NO     | YES |
| b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?   |      |        |     |
|   |      |        |     |
| c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac   | ion? |        |     |
| 9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?  If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:             |      | NO     | YES |
|   |      |        |     |
| 10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?  |      | NO     | YES |
|   |      |        | 125 |
| If No, describe method for providing potable water:   |      |        |     |
| 11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?  |      | NO     | YES |
|   |      |        | TES |
| If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:  |      |        |     |
| 12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic  |      | NO     | YES |
| Places?   |      |        |     |
| b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?   |      |        |     |
| 13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contai wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? | n    | NO     | YES |
| b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?   |      |        | 百   |
| If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:  |      |        |     |
|   |      |        |     |
| 14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check a Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successi                |      | apply: |     |
| ☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban  |      | NO     | VEC |
| 15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?                  |      | NO     | YES |
| 16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?  |      | NO     | YES |
|   |      |        |     |
| 17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes,  |      | NO     | YES |
| a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?   |      |        |     |
| b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:  | ıs)? |        |     |
|   |      |        |     |

|  | sed action include con   | nstruction or other activ<br>pond, waste lagoon, dan   |   |  |                      |                               | NO             |                         |
|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|  |  | pond, waste lageen, da   |   |  |                      |                               |                | _                       |
|  |  |  |   |  |                      |                               |                |                         |
| 19. Has the site of t  | he proposed action of  | r an adjoining property  | been the location   | of an active or  | r closed             |                               | NO             | YES                     |
|  | agement facility?  |  |   |  |                      |                               |                | _                       |
|  |  |  |   |  |                      |                               |                |                         |
| 20. Has the site of t  | he proposed action of  | r an adjoining property  | heen the subject o  | of remediation   | (ongoin              | ng or                         | NO             | YES                     |
| completed) for h   | nazardous waste?   |  | -   |  |                      | ing or                        |                |                         |
| If Yes, describe:  |  |  |   |  |                      |                               |                | <u> </u>                |
| I A DEPTH A COULAGE  | THE DEODMAN  | ION PROVIDED A RO  |   | UD A CCUID A   | TE TO                | True Di                       |                | ENG                     |
| TO COUNTY TO CE  |  | ON PROVIDED ABO  | OVE IS TRUE AT  | ND ACCURA  | TE TO                | ) THE BE                      | LST U          | )F IVI                  |
| Applicant/sponsor r  | name:  | County of Westchester  |   | Date:  | 714 - 24             | May 23, 20                    | 23             |                         |
| Signature:   | Dark   | visge  |   |  |                      |                               |                |                         |
| questions in Part 2 u<br>otherwise available   | using the information to the reviewer. Wh  | d Agency is responsible<br>a contained in Part 1 and<br>en answering the questi<br>he scale and context of the   | d other materials stone the reviewer s  | ubmitted by the  | ne proje             | ct sponsor                    | r or<br>t "Hav | ve my                   |
| questions in Part 2 u<br>otherwise available   | using the information to the reviewer. Wh  | contained in Part 1 and<br>en answering the questi   | d other materials stone the reviewer s  | ubmitted by the  | ne proje             | No, or small impact           | Mo<br>t im     | derate                  |
| questions in Part 2 u<br>otherwise available   | using the information to the reviewer. Wh  | contained in Part 1 and<br>en answering the questi   | d other materials stone the reviewer s  | ubmitted by the  | ne proje             | No, or                        | Mo<br>to<br>im | derate                  |
| questions in Part 2 uotherwise available responses been reas   | using the information<br>to the reviewer. Wh<br>onable considering th  | contained in Part 1 and<br>en answering the questi   | d other materials sions the reviewer sions the reviewer si  | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"   | ne proje<br>led by t | No, or small impact may       | Mo<br>to<br>im | derate large ipact      |
| questions in Part 2 uotherwise available responses been reas  1. Will the propose regulations?   | using the information to the reviewer. Whonable considering the considering the sed action create a management   | a contained in Part 1 and<br>en answering the questi<br>he scale and context of the  | d other materials sions the reviewer sions the proposed action  | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  Plan or zoning   | ne proje<br>led by t | No, or small impact may       | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 uotherwise available responses been reas  1. Will the propos regulations?  2. Will the propos  | using the information to the reviewer. Whonable considering the considering the sed action create a managed action result in a considering the | a contained in Part 1 and en answering the questine scale and context of the scale and context of the scale and context attended to the scale and context attended to the scale and context at a scale attended to the scale | d other materials sions the reviewer sions the proposed action the proposed action adopted land use pensity of use of land  | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  slan or zoning  and?   | ne proje<br>led by t | No, or small impact may       | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 uotherwise available responses been reas  1. Will the propos regulations?  2. Will the propos  3. Will the propos  4. Will the propos  | using the information to the reviewer. Whonable considering the sed action create a material action result in a created action impair the sed action impai | aterial conflict with an acchange in the use or intercharacter or quality of tapact on the environment   | d other materials sions the reviewer sthe proposed action adopted land use pensity of use of larthe existing communications.  | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  Plan or zoning and?  unity?  | ne proje             | No, or small impact may       | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 upotherwise available responses been reas  1. Will the proposing regulations?  2. Will the proposing Will the proposing establishment of the proposing stablishment of | sed action create a manuel action impair the led action have an import a Critical Environment action result in an action action result in a create action have an import a Critical Environment action result in an action result in action result in an action result in action result  | aterial conflict with an acchange in the use or intercharacter or quality of tapact on the environment   | d other materials sions the reviewer state proposed action adopted land use present of use of lands are existing commental characteristics existing level of trees.                           | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  plan or zoning and?  unity?  that caused the                       | ne proje             | No, or small impact may occur | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 to otherwise available responses been reased.  1. Will the propose regulations?  2. Will the proposes.  3. Will the proposes establishment of the propose stablishment of the proposes.  5. Will the proposes affect existing in the proposes.   | sed action result in a content action have an import a Critical Environment action result in an infrastructure for massed action cause an infrastructure for massed action cause an infrastructure action cause action cause an infrastructure action cause action ca | aterial conflict with an acchange in the use or intercharacter or quality of topact on the environment adverse change in the acchange in the use or intercharacter or quality of topact on the environment and the acchange in the account of the  | d other materials signs the reviewer's the proposed action the proposed action adopted land use present of use of lands and the existing commutal characteristics existing level of trackway? | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  plan or zoning and?  unity?  that caused the affic or incorporate  | ne proje             | No, or small impact may occur | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 upotherwise available responses been reaser as a limit of the propose regulations?  2. Will the propose affect existing in the propose affect existing in the propose reasonably available.  3. Will the propose the propose affect existing in the propose reasonably available.  4. Will the propose affect existing in the propose reasonably available.  5. Will the propose reasonably available.   | sed action result in a content action have an import a Critical Environment action result in an infrastructure for massed action cause an infrastructure for massed action cause an infrastructure action cause action cause an infrastructure action cause action ca | accontained in Part 1 and en answering the question he scale and context of the scale and context on the environment of the scale and context of t | d other materials signs the reviewer's the proposed action the proposed action adopted land use present of use of lands and the existing commutal characteristics existing level of trackway? | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  plan or zoning and?  unity?  that caused the affic or incorporate  | ne proje             | No, or small impact may occur | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 to otherwise available responses been reas  1. Will the propose regulations?  2. Will the proposes affect existing in the propose affect existing in the propose reasonably available.  6. Will the propose reasonably available.  7. Will the propose a. public / propose a. propose  | sed action result in a content action have an important action result in an infrastructure for massed action cause an initiable energy conserved action impact exists  | aterial conflict with an acterial conflict with a conflict with a conflict with a conflict with  | d other materials signs the reviewer's the proposed action the proposed action adopted land use present of use of lands and the existing commutal characteristics existing level of trackway? | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  plan or zoning and?  unity?  that caused the affic or incorporate  | ne proje             | No, or small impact may occur | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |
| questions in Part 2 to otherwise available responses been reas  1. Will the propose regulations?  2. Will the proposes affect existing in the propose and public / proposes.  Will the proposes and the proposes and public / proposes.  Will the proposes and public / proposes.  Will the proposes and public / proposes.  Will the proposes and public / proposes.  | sed action result in a content action have an important action result in an infrastructure for massed action cause an initiable energy conserved action impact exisprivate wastewater trusted action private wastewater trusted action impact exisprivate wastewater trusted action impact exispriv | aterial conflict with an acterial conflict with a conflict with a conflict with a conflict with  | d other materials sions the reviewer's the proposed action the proposed action adopted land use present of use of large the existing commutal characteristics existing level of trackway?     | ubmitted by the should be guiden?"  plan or zoning and?  unity?  that caused the raffic or incorporate | ne proje             | No, or small impact may occur | Mo<br>to<br>im | derat<br>large<br>ipact |

waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?

|   | No, or<br>small<br>impact<br>may<br>occur | Moderate<br>to large<br>impact<br>may<br>occur |
|---|---|--|
| 10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems? | <b>✓</b>                                  |  |
| 11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?                        | <b>√</b>                                  |  |


Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

The proposed action involves an act by the County Board of Legislators in order to modify a County-established sewer district, which is similar in nature to "the legislative adoption of a plan" in that there are no direct impacts to the environment because the action does not involve physical changes. The proposed action would remove from a district property that is not receiving nor is anticipated to receive district services. The parcel is already developed with a single-family residence that is served by a functioning on-site septic system. The Health Department has no record of septic problems or failures occurring at this site within the past five years, which may otherwise warrant inclusion in the district. There are no mapped wetlands within the property. Additionally, the property is located in the Town's R-40 One Family Residential zoning district; as such, no new development is anticipated that would warrant a sewer connection. The nearest local sewer is located at least 700 feet away. The Town does not have any plans to extend its local sewer lines to serve this property. Since the property was never connected to the sewer system, its removal from the district will have no physical impact on the County's sewer infrastructure.

|       | that the proposed action may result in one or more pote     | rmation and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, entially large or significant adverse impacts and an |
|-------|---|--|
|       | environmental impact statement is required.                 |  |
| 1     |   | rmation and analysis above, and any supporting documentation,  |
|       | that the proposed action will not result in any significant | adverse environmental impacts.   |
| Cour  | nty of Westchester  | 7/14/2023  |
|       | Name of Lead Agency   | Date   |
| Malik | a Vanderberg  | Clerk and Chief Administrator of the Board of Legislators  |
| Prir  | nt of Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency       | Title of Responsible Officer   |
|       | Mile Va   | Dar Hisge  |
|       | Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency             | Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)  |
|       |   |  |

PRINT

wetlands and



| Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area]   | No   |
|---|--|
| Part 1 / Question 12a [National or State<br>Register of Historic Places or State Eligible<br>Sites] | No   |
| Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites]   | No   |
| Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies]                                     | Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands ar waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal]  | No   |
| Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain]   | No   |
| Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site]   | No   |

#### ACT NO. 2023 - 122

AN ACT to Modify the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District by the Removal of one (1) Parcel of Property located in the Town of Mt. Pleasant from the District.

BE IT ENACTED by the County Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester as follows:

- Section 1. The property located in the Town of Mt. Pleasant, more particularly described as 5 Charles Court, Section 91.17, Block 1, Lot 8.4 (the "Parcel"), is hereby removed from the Saw Mill Valley Sanitary Sewer District (the "District").
- §2. The Parcel is to be forgiven its obligation for future debt service requirement and is to relinquish its equity in existing sewage facilities in the District, and in return the District is relieved of its responsibility to provide sanitary sewer service and sewerage facilities to the Parcel.
- §4. This Act, and the assessment area of the District as so altered, changed, modified, reduced and/or enlarged hereby, shall become effective immediately and the assessment rolls filed after the next taxable status date shall show County sewer district assessments and taxes on the basis of such revised District, and taxes levied on such roles shall be based thereon, but any sewer district tax or assessment levied on any valid assessment rolls in effect prior to the next taxable status date, on any parcel affected by the revisions made by this Act shall continue valid as such or as a tax lien, until paid and the amount paid shall be credited to the sewer district in which such parcels were assessed on the roll on which said tax is levied.
- §5. The County Executive or his authorized designee be and hereby is authorized and empowered to execute instruments and to take any and all action necessary and appropriate to accomplish the purposes hereof.
  - §6. This Act shall take effect immediately.

| STATE OF NEW YORK     | ) |     |
|-----------------------|---|-----|
|                       | ) | SS. |
| COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER | ) |     |

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have compared the foregoing Resolution No. 100 - 2023, and Act No. 122 - 2023, with the originals on file in my office, and that the same are correct transcripts therefrom, and of the whole, of said original Resolution, and Act, which were duly adopted by the Westchester County Board of Legislators, of the County of Westchester on July 10, 2023, and approved by the County Executive on July 18, 2023.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Corporate Seal of said County Board of Legislators on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

Malika Vanderberg

The Clerk of the Westchester County
Board of Legislators

County of Westchester, New York

