

Law & Major Contracts Meeting Agenda



Committee Chair: David Imamura

800 Michaelian Office Bldg.
148 Martine Avenue, 8th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601
www.westchesterlegislators.com

Monday, September 29, 2025

10:00 AM

Committee Room

Joint w/B&A

CALL TO ORDER

Please note: Meetings of the Board of Legislators and its committees are held at the Michaelian Office Building, 148 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York, 10601, and remotely via the WebEx video conferencing system. Legislators may participate in person or via Webex. Members of the public may attend meetings in person at any of its locations, or view it online on the Westchester County Legislature's website:

<https://westchestercountyny.legistar.com/> This website also provides links to materials for all matters to be discussed at a given meeting.

Legislator Emiljana Ulaj will be participating remotely from 520 White Plains Road, Tarrytown, NY 10591.

Legislator Colin Smith will be participating remotely from 1132 Main Street, Suite 1, Peekskill, NY 10566.

Joint with Budget & Appropriations committee.

MINUTES APPROVAL

Monday, July 28th at 1:00 p.m.

I. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

1. [2025-361](#) ACT - Lawsuit Settlement GCVA WCH-DOE v. WMC, WCHCC and WC AN ACT authorizing the County Attorney to settle the lawsuit of GCVA WCH-DOE v. Westchester Medical Center, Westchester County Health Care Corporation and Westchester County in Supreme Court Westchester County in the amount of EIGHT HUNDRED SEVENTY FIVE THOUSAND (\$875,000) DOLLARS, inclusive of attorney's fees.

COMMITTEE REFERRAL: COMMITTEES ON BUDGET & APPROPRIATIONS AND LAW & MAJOR CONTRACTS

Guests: Law Dept.: County Attorney John Nonna and Deputy County Attorney Taryn Langrin

2. [2025-369](#) ACT - Lawsuit Settlement of Fields v. The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College

AN ACT authorizing the County Attorney to settle the lawsuit of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College, et al., in the amount of TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND (\$200,000) DOLLARS, inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND (\$180,000) DOLLARS and Facility Services Association contributing TWENTY THOUSAND (\$20,000) DOLLARS.

COMMITTEE REFERRAL: COMMITTEES ON BUDGET & APPROPRIATIONS AND LAW & MAJOR CONTRACTS

Guests: Law Dept.: County Attorney John Nonna and Assistant Chief Deputy County Attorney Jane Hogan-Felix; Joe Slaughter, Esq.

3. [2025-388](#) ACT - Authorization to Commence an Action against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders

AN ACT authorizing the County Attorney to initiate legal action including, but not limited to, third-party claims, cross-claims, and/or counterclaims on behalf of the County of Westchester against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller, and any other responsible party as may be necessary and appropriate to protect the County's interests in connection with return of the Dragon Coaster gearbox and/or monetary damages as may be appropriate.

COMMITTEE REFERRAL: COMMITTEES ON BUDGET & APPROPRIATIONS AND LAW & MAJOR CONTRACTS

Guests: Law Dept.: County Attorney John Nonna and Deputy County Attorney John Fico

4. [2025-405](#) ACT - Opioid Settlement - Additional Manufacturers

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al., arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

Guests: Law Dept.: County Attorney John Nonna and Associate County Attorney Loren Zeitler

5. [2025-406](#) ACT - Opioid Settlement , Purdue/Sackler

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of NY, Westchester County, titled The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al. arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

Guests: Law Dept.: County Attorney John Nonna and Associate County Attorney Loren Zeitler

II. OTHER BUSINESS

III. RECEIVE & FILE

ADJOURNMENT



Kenneth W. Jenkins
County Executive

Office of the County Attorney

John M. Nonna
County Attorney

August 19, 2025

Westchester County Board of Legislators
County of Westchester
800 Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601

Re: Request for authorization to settle the lawsuit of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 59280/2021, in the amount of \$875,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

Dear Honorable Members of the Board:

Attached for your consideration is an Act, which if enacted by your Board, would authorize the settlement of the lawsuit of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 59280/2021, in the amount of \$875,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

This matter is pending in the Westchester County Supreme Court before the Honorable Doris M. Gonzalez. The lawsuit tentatively settled, pending this Board's approval, for a total amount of \$875,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees.


Lucas B. Franken, Esq. of Pfau Cochran Veretis Amala, 120 Broadway, Floor 26 New York, New York 10271, is representing the plaintiff, John Doe.

This matter arises in the context of The Child Victim's Act (the "CVA"). The legislation was enacted in 2019 and allowed for victims of childhood sexual abuse to file lawsuits despite expired statutes of limitations. In this case, the plaintiff alleges he was abused during a private exam in 1995, when he was about 15 years old, by Doctor Steven Tames, MD ("Dr. Tames") who was allegedly employed by Westchester Medical Center. At the time, Westchester Medical Center was owned and operated by the County. Plaintiff alleges Dr. Tames ordered the plaintiff to masturbate and ejaculate in the exam room, which resulted in permanent psychological and emotional damages.

Plaintiff claims that prior to the 1995 abuse in question, the County had actual and constructive notice of Dr. Tames' tendencies to sexually abuse children under the guise of medical treatment, and the County was negligent in failing to supervise and continuing to employ Dr. Tames, which allowed him to sexually abuse the plaintiff. The County has denied these allegations.

The settlement takes into consideration the uncertainty of litigation and the potential costs of trial, the exposure to a substantial jury verdict, subsequent proceedings and potential appeal. The accompanying Act will authorize settlement of the lawsuit entitled of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in the amount of \$875,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees.

Very truly yours,



John M. Nonna
County Attorney

JMN/mjc

BOARD OF LEGISLATORS
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

Your Committee is in receipt of a proposed Act, which if enacted by your Board, would authorize the settlement of the lawsuit of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in the amount of \$875,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

This matter is pending in the Westchester County Supreme Court before the Honorable Doris M. Gonzalez. The lawsuit tentatively settled, pending this Board's approval, for a total amount of \$875,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees.

Lucas B. Franken, Esq. of Pfau Cochran Veretis Amala, 120 Broadway, Floor 26 New York, New York 10271, is representing the plaintiff, John Doe.

This matter arises in the context of The Child Victim's Act (the "CVA"). The legislation was enacted in 2019 and allowed for victims of childhood sexual abuse to file lawsuits despite expired statutes of limitations. In this case, the plaintiff alleges he was abused during a private exam in 1995, when he was about 15 years old, by Doctor Steven Tames, MD ("Dr. Tames") who was allegedly employed by Westchester Medical Center. At the time, Westchester Medical Center was owned and operated by the County. Plaintiff alleges Dr. Tames ordered the plaintiff to masturbate and ejaculate in the exam room, which resulted in permanent psychological and emotional damages.

Plaintiff claims that prior to the 1995 abuse in question, the County had actual and constructive notice of Dr. Tames' tendencies to sexually abuse children under the guise of medical treatment, and the County was negligent in failing to supervise and continuing to employ Dr. Tames, which allowed him to sexually abuse the plaintiff. The County has denied these allegations.

The settlement takes into consideration the uncertainty of litigation and the potential costs of trial, the exposure to a substantial jury verdict, subsequent proceedings and potential appeal. The accompanying Act will authorize settlement of the lawsuit entitled of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in the amount of \$875,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees.

Your Committee has carefully considered the subject matter, the settlement proposal, the attached Act and recommends authorizing the County Attorney or his designee to settle the lawsuit entitled GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in the amount of \$875,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Board is required to pass this legislation.

Dated: White Plains, New York

, 2025

COMMITTEE ON

ACT NO. -2025

AN ACT authorizing the County Attorney to settle the lawsuit of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 59280/2021, in the amount of \$875,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester as follows:

Section 1. The County Attorney is authorized to settle the lawsuit of GCVA WCH-DOE v. WESTCHESTER MEDICAL CENTER, WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH CARE CORPORATION & WESTCHESTER COUNTY, in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 59280/2021, in the amount of \$875,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

Section 2. The County Attorney or his designee is hereby authorized and empowered to execute and deliver all documents and take such actions as the County Attorney deems necessary or desirable to accomplish the purpose of this Act.

Section 3. This Act shall take effect immediately.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

SUBJECT: Lawsuit Settlement:GCVA-G950380

☐ NO FISCAL IMPACT PROJECTED

OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT

To Be Completed by Submitting Department and Reviewed by Budget

SECTION A - FUND

☒ GENERAL FUND

☐ AIRPORT FUND

☐ SPECIAL DISTRICTS FUND

SECTION B - EXPENSES AND REVENUES

Total Current Year Expense \$ 875,000

Total Current Year Revenue \$

Source of Funds (check one): ☐ Current Appropriations ☐ Transfer of Existing Appropriations

☐ Additional Appropriations

☒ Other (explain)

Identify Accounts: 6N Fund: 615 59 0600 1950 4280 04

Potential Related Operating Budget Expenses: Annual Amount N/A

Describe: Settlement of GCVA WCH-DOE G950380

Potential Related Operating Budget Revenues: Annual Amount N/A

Describe:

Anticipated Savings to County and/or Impact on Department Operations:

Current Year: N/A

Next Four Years: N/A

Prepared by: Taryn A Chapman-Langrin

Title: Deputy County Attorney

Department: Law

Date: August 18, 2025

Reviewed By: PH

[Signature]
Budget Director

Date: 8/08/25



Kenneth W. Jenkins
County Executive

Office of the County Attorney

John M. Nonna
County Attorney

August 21, 2025

Westchester County Board of Legislators
County of Westchester
800 Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601

Re: Request for authorization to settle the lawsuit of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al. in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 50797/2016, in the amount of \$200,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

Dear Honorable Members of the Board:

Attached for your consideration is an Act, which if enacted by your Board, would authorize the settlement of the lawsuit of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al. in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 50797/2016, in the amount of \$200,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Student Association contributing \$20,000.00.

This matter is pending in the Westchester County Supreme Court before the Honorable William J. Giacomo. The lawsuit tentatively settled, pending this Board's approval for a total amount of \$200,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Student Association contributing \$20,000.00.

Carolyn V. Minter, Esq., 79 Croton Avenue, Ossining, New York, 10562, represents the plaintiff. The County defendants are represented by our outside counsel Joseph Slaughter Esq. of the Law Offices of Joseph Slaughter, 790 Riverside Drive, New York, New York 10032. Faculty Student Association is represented by John Flannery Esq. of Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker, 1133 Westchester Avenue, White Plains, New York 10604.

This matter arises out of a transcript forgery scandal involving Westchester Community College ("WCC") which first came to light in October 2014. Plaintiff was an assistant basketball coach at "WCC" from approximately 2010 to October 2014. The individual


defendants named in the Complaint, David Swope, Joseph Hankin, John Flynn, and Patrick Hennessey, were senior officers of the College during the relevant period of time. When the College became aware of the allegations they began an investigation and initial suspicions as to who was responsible for the forgery centered on plaintiff. Within days of the allegations surfacing the investigation was taken over by the New York State Inspector General's Office. Several days later, in response to press inquiries, the College's press officer, Patrick Hennessey, disclosed to the public the results of the College's preliminary investigation. He reported that the plaintiff was responsible for the forgery and had been fired. The Inspector General's Office conducted a year long investigation culminating in fraud charges being brought against head basketball coach Tyrone Mushatt and no charges against plaintiff.

Plaintiff subsequently brought this action alleging defamation and intentional infliction of emotional distress against the College, senior members of its administration and Board of Trustees, and the County, based on Hennessey's statements to the press. He also asserts wrongful termination claims against Defendant Faculty Student Association.

Plaintiff claims that the County officials negligently caused a false statement to be published and that statement caused harm to his reputation from which he has never recovered.

The settlement takes into consideration the uncertainty of litigation and the potential costs of trial, the exposure to a substantial jury verdict, subsequent proceedings and potential appeal. The accompanying Act will authorize settlement of the lawsuit entitled of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al. in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 50797/2016, in the amount of \$200,000.00, with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Students Association contributing \$20,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

Very truly yours,


John M. Nonna
County Attorney

JMN/jhf

BOARD OF LEGISLATORS
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

Your Committee is in receipt of a proposed Act, which if enacted by your Board, would authorize the settlement of the lawsuit of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al, in the amount of \$200,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees, with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Student Association contributing \$20,000.00.

This matter is pending in the Westchester County Supreme Court before the Honorable William J. Giacomo. The lawsuit tentatively settled, pending this Board's approval for a total amount of \$200,000.00 inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Student Association contributing \$20,000.00.

Carolyn V. Minter, Esq., 79 Croton Avenue, Ossining, New York, 10562, represents the plaintiff. The County defendants are represented by our outside counsel Joseph Slaughter Esq. of the Law Offices of Joseph Slaughter, 790 Riverside Drive, New York, New York 10032. Faculty Student Association is represented by John Flannery Esq. of Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker, 1133 Westchester Avenue, White Plains, New York 10604.

This matter arises out of a transcript forgery scandal involving Westchester Community College ("WCC") which first came to light in October 2014. Plaintiff was an assistant basketball coach at "WCC" from approximately 2010 to October 2014. The individual

defendants named in the Complaint, David Swope, Joseph Hankin, John Flynn, and Patrick Hennessey, were senior officers of the College during the relevant period of time. When the College became aware of the allegations they began an investigation and initial suspicions as to who was responsible for the forgery centered on plaintiff. Within days of the allegations surfacing the investigation was taken over by the New York State Inspector General's Office. Several days later, in response to press inquiries, the College's press officer, Patrick Hennessey, disclosed to the public the results of the College's preliminary investigation. He reported that the plaintiff was responsible for the forgery and had been fired. The Inspector General's Office conducted a year long investigation culminating in fraud charges being brought against head basketball coach Tyrone Mushatt and no charges against plaintiff.

Plaintiff subsequently brought this action alleging defamation and intentional infliction of emotional distress against the College, senior members of its administration and Board of Trustees, and the County, based on Hennessey's statements to the press. He also asserts wrongful termination claims against Defendant Faculty Student Association.

Plaintiff claims that the County officials negligently caused a false statement to be published and that statement caused harm to his reputation from which he has never recovered.

The settlement takes into consideration the uncertainty of litigation and the potential costs of trial, the exposure to a substantial jury verdict, subsequent proceedings and potential appeal. The accompanying Act will authorize settlement of the lawsuit entitled of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al, in Supreme Court Westchester County, Index No. 50797/2016, in the amount of \$200,000.00, with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Students Association contributing \$20,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees.

Your Committee has carefully considered the subject matter, the settlement proposal, the attached Act and recommends authorizing the County Attorney or his designee to settle the lawsuit entitled Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College, in the amount of \$200,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Student Association contributing \$20,000.00. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Board is required to pass this legislation.

Dated: White Plains, New York

, 2025

COMMITTEE ON

ACT NO. -2025

AN ACT authorizing the County Attorney to settle the lawsuit of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al., Westchester County Supreme Court Index No. 50797/2016, in the amount of \$200,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Services Association contributing \$20,000.00.

BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester as follows:

Section 1. The County Attorney is authorized to settle the lawsuit of Richard Fields v The County of Westchester, Westchester Community College et al., in the amount of \$200,000.00, inclusive of attorney's fees with the County contributing \$180,000.00 and Faculty Services Association contributing \$20,000.00.

Section 2. The County Attorney or his designee is hereby authorized and empowered to execute and deliver all documents and take such actions as the County Attorney deems necessary or desirable to accomplish the purpose of this Act.

Section 3. This Act shall take effect immediately.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

SUBJECT: Lawsuit Settlement:Fields, Richards

☐ NO FISCAL IMPACT PROJECTED

OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT

To Be Completed by Submitting Department and Reviewed by Budget

SECTION A - FUND

☒ GENERAL FUND

☐ AIRPORT FUND

☐ SPECIAL DISTRICTS FUND

SECTION B - EXPENSES AND REVENUES

Total Current Year Expense \$ 180,000

Total Current Year Revenue \$ -

Source of Funds (check one): ☐ Current Appropriations ☐ Transfer of Existing Appropriations

☐ Additional Appropriations

☒ Other (explain)

Identify Accounts: 6N Fund: 615 59 0694 3850 4280 04

Potential Related Operating Budget Expenses: Annual Amount N/A

Describe: Public Official Liability Settlement of Fields, Richards G140180

Potential Related Operating Budget Revenues: Annual Amount N/A

Describe: _____

Anticipated Savings to County and/or Impact on Department Operations:

Current Year: N/A

Next Four Years: N/A

Prepared by: Jane Hogan-Felix

Title: Assistant Chief Deputy County Attorney

Department: Law

Date: August 22, 2025

Reviewed By: 

Budget Director

Date: 8/22/25



Kenneth W. Jenkins
County Executive

Office of the County Attorney

John M. Nonna
County Attorney

September 15, 2025

Westchester County Board of Legislators
County of Westchester
800 Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601

Re: Request for authorization to commence an action against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller and/or other parties deemed responsible as may be necessary and appropriate to enforce the return of County property or obtain monetary damages for same.

Dear Honorable Members of the Board:

This request arises from a transaction between Standard Amusements ("SA") and Machinery Equipment Rebuilders and Paul Miller, its principal (collectively "MER"), for the repair and refurbishment of a mechanical gearbox that is necessary for the operation of the Dragon Coaster ride located at Playland.


At the time this transaction arose, SA was operating Playland for the County of Westchester ("County") under a written agreement. It is believed that SA hired MER to repair the Dragon Coaster gearbox sometime in March 2025, with an expectation that the gearbox would be returned sometime in April. Unfortunately, despite repeated attempts via telephone calls and emails, MER has not only failed to return the gearbox but also failed to provide any meaningful information regarding the location and status of the gearbox.

The County, through this office, will continue to seek a resolution to the claim. However, if a satisfactory resolution cannot be obtained with MER, litigation is necessary.

In order to protect the County's interests, authority to commence an action against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller, its purported principal, and any other party as may be necessary and appropriate to enforce the County's rights, is recommended.

Therefore, I am respectfully requesting that this Honorable Board pass the accompanying Act authorizing the County to initiate all legal action, if necessary, including, but not limited to, third-party claims, cross-claims, and/or counterclaims on behalf of the County, as may be necessary against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller, and/or all other parties deemed responsible as may be necessary and appropriate to enforce the return of County property or obtain monetary damages for same . The Commissioner of Parks Recreation and Conservation concurs with this recommendation.

Very truly yours,



John M. Nonna
County Attorney

JMN/jf
Enclosure

BOARD OF LEGISLATORS
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

Your Committee is in receipt of a proposed Act which, if approved by your Honorable Board, would authorize the County Attorney to initiate legal action including, but not limited to, third-party claims, cross-claims, and/or counterclaims on behalf of the County of Westchester ("County"), as may be necessary against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller (collectively "MER"), and any other party as necessary and appropriate to protect the County's interests in connection with return of the Dragon Coaster gearbox and/or monetary damages for same.

When Standards Amusement was under contract with the County to manage and operate Playland, in or about March 2025 it purportedly entered into an agreement with MER for the repair and refurbishment of the gearbox necessary to operate the Dragon Coaster. The subject gearbox was delivered to MER. However, despite the County's efforts, the gearbox has not yet been returned.

Your Committee concurs with the County Attorney and Westchester County Commissioner of Parks Recreation and Conservation, Kathleen O'Connor, and, therefore, recommends that the Board approve the accompanying Act authorizing the County Attorney to initiate such legal action, including, but not limited to, third-party claims, cross-claims, and/or counterclaims on behalf of the County, as may be necessary against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller, and any other party deemed responsible as necessary and appropriate to protect the County's interests in connection these claims.

An affirmative vote of a majority of the Board is required to pass this Act.

Dated: October , 2025
White Plains, New York

COMMITTEE ON

l:jf

AN ACT authorizing the County Attorney to initiate legal action including, but not limited to, third-party claims, cross-claims, and/or counterclaims on behalf of the County of Westchester against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller, and any other responsible party as may be necessary and appropriate to protect the County's interests in connection with return of the Dragon Coaster gearbox and/or monetary damages as may be appropriate.

BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester as follows:

Section 1. The County Attorney is hereby authorized on behalf of the County of Westchester to initiate legal action, including, but not limited to, third-party claims, cross-claims, and/or counterclaims on behalf of the County of Westchester against Machinery Equipment Rebuilders, Paul Miller, and/or any other responsible parties as may be necessary and appropriate to protect the County's interests arising for the recovery of equipment identified as the gearbox for the Dragon Coaster ride located at Playland, Rye, New York.

Section 2. The County Attorney or his duly designated representative is hereby authorized to undertake such legal proceedings and prepare all documents necessary or desirable to accomplish the purpose of this Act.

Section 3. This Act shall take effect immediately.



Kenneth W. Jenkins
County Executive

Office of the County Attorney

John M. Nonna
County Attorney

September 18, 2025

Westchester County Board of Legislators
800 Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Re: An Act authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

Dear Honorable Members of the Board:

Attached for your review is proposed legislation that, if enacted, would authorize the County of Westchester to enter a settlement and general release agreement fully resolving the claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

The Instant Proceeding

On February 6, 2018, the County commenced an action against opioid manufacturers, distributors and dispensers in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester. The Complaint asserted several causes of action, such as deceptive marketing, false advertising, public nuisance, misrepresentation, fraud, negligence, and unjust enrichment and conspiracy, alleging that each of the Defendants contributed to the opioid epidemic that Westchester County has been battling and will continue to battle for the foreseeable future. The causes of action against the various Defendants are based on claims that they contributed to the opioid epidemic by violating state and federal statutes related to the manufacturing, distribution and sale of opioids, all of which contributed to a public health crisis. Since the litigation's inception in 2018, many of the County's claims against the Defendants have been resolved through settlement.

Terms of the Proposed Settlement

Pursuant to an allocation formula used in previous national opioid settlements, if the County participates in the settlements, the County will be paid approximately \$850,000 collectively from the eight companies. Five of the eight settlements will be paid out in the first year with the remaining three paid over a period of between two and ten years. Three of the settlements included opioid overdose product such as Naloxone. Seven of the settlements also contain injunctive relief concerning their opioid marketing and suspicious monitoring programs that will be overseen by the States. All but one of the eight companies (Alvogen, Inc.) were sued by the County in the ongoing litigation. As in previous deals, all attorneys fees and costs will be paid out of a separate fund. All of the settlement funds must be used for "Approved Uses" (towards prevention, treatment, education and other types of abatement) as defined in the New York Global Payment Opioid Settlement Sharing Agreement.

In order to become a party to the Settlement Agreement, Westchester County has to do the following:


1. Sign the Secondary Manufacturers New York Opioid Settlement Sharing Agreement (Exhibit "A" hereto);
2. Sign the Secondary Manufacturers' Combined Subdivision Participation and Release Form (Exhibit "B" hereto)

Recommendation of Westchester County Attorney's Office

Litigation concerning the opioid epidemic has been pending for over seven years. Westchester County has suffered tremendous losses and continues to suffer the effects of the epidemic and will for the foreseeable future. The funds it will receive in this settlement will help offset some of those costs and can be used for future abatement purposes. The County Attorney recommends accepting this settlement, as opposed to assuming the risks and burdens of litigating against these Defendants individually.

I respectfully request authority from this Board pursuant to Section 158.11 of the Westchester County Charter to settle the above-referenced matter. I therefore recommend passage of the accompanying Act.

Very truly yours,



John M. Nonna
County Attorney

BOARD OF LEGISLATORS
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

Your Committee is in receipt of a proposed Act which, if enacted by your Board, would authorize the County of Westchester (“County”) to enter a settlement and general release agreement fully resolving the claims of the County against manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the “Instant Proceeding”) arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

On February 6, 2018, the County of Westchester commenced an action against opioid manufacturers, distributors and dispensers in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester. The Complaint asserted several causes of action, such as deceptive marketing, false advertising, public nuisance, misrepresentation, fraud, negligence, and unjust enrichment and conspiracy, alleging that each of the Defendants contributed to the opioid epidemic that Westchester County has been battling and will continue to battle for the foreseeable future. The causes of action against the various Defendants are based on claims that they contributed to the opioid epidemic by violating state and federal statutes related to the manufacturing, distribution and sale of opioids, all of which contributed to a public health crisis. Since the litigation’s inception in 2018, many of the County’s claims against the Defendants have been resolved through settlement.

Pursuant to an allocation formula used in previous national opioid settlements, if the County participates in the settlements, the County will be paid approximately \$850,000 collectively from the eight companies. Five of the eight settlements will be paid out in the first year with the remaining three paid over a period of between two and ten years. Three of the settlements included opioid overdose product such as Naloxone. Seven of the settlements also contain injunctive relief concerning their opioid marketing and suspicious monitoring programs that will be overseen by the States. All but one of the 8 companies were sued by the County in the ongoing litigation. As in previous deals, all attorneys fees and costs will be paid out of a separate fund. All of the settlement funds must be used for "Approved Uses" (towards prevention, treatment, education and other types of abatement) as defined in the New York Global Payment Opioid Settlement Sharing Agreement.

In order to become a party to the Settlement Agreement, Westchester County must do the following:

1. Sign the Secondary Manufacturers New York Opioid Settlement Sharing Agreement (Exhibit "A" hereto);
2. Sign the Secondary Manufacturers' Combined Subdivision Participation and Release Form (Exhibit "B" hereto)

Your Committee has carefully considered the matter and recommends approval of the annexed Act. The Act, which would authorize the County to enter into the proposed settlement to effectuate the resolution of the lawsuit, is in the best interests of the County.

Your Committee therefore recommends this Honorable Board approve the annexed Act authorizing the County to enter into the proposed settlement of the above-referenced lawsuit. An affirmative vote by a majority of the Board is required to pass this Act.

Dated: White Plains, New York
September 18, 2025

COMMITTEE ON

ACT NO. 2025

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the “Instant Proceeding”) arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester as follows:

1. The County of Westchester is hereby authorized to settle its claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the “Instant Proceeding”) arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

2. The County Attorney or his designee is hereby authorized to execute and deliver all documents and take such actions as the County Attorney deems necessary or desirable to accomplish the purpose hereof.
3. This Act shall take effect immediately.

EXHIBIT

A

SECONDARY MANUFACTURERS NEW YORK OPIOID SETTLEMENT SHARING AGREEMENT

This Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions governing the sharing and allocation of funds between and among the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions (as defined below) received under the national settlements with Mylan Inc., Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Hikma Pharmaceuticals plc, Indivior plc, Apotex Inc., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Alvogen, Inc. and Zydus Lifesciences Limited (hereinafter the "Secondary Manufacturers"), each of which constitutes a "Statewide Opioids Settlement Agreement" as defined in N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 25.18(a)(8);

Whereas, the people of the State of New York and its communities have been harmed by misfeasance, nonfeasance, and malfeasance committed by Mylan Inc., Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Hikma Pharmaceuticals plc, Indivior plc, Apotex Inc., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Alvogen, Inc. and Zydus Lifesciences Limited;

Whereas, the State of New York and New York Subdivisions are engaged in investigations of the Secondary Manufacturers and certain New York Subdivisions are engaged in litigation against some of the Secondary Manufacturers, seeking to hold them accountable for the damage caused by their misfeasance, nonfeasance, and malfeasance; and

Whereas, the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions share a common desire to abate and alleviate the impacts of the misfeasance, nonfeasance, and malfeasance of the Secondary Manufacturers throughout the State of New York;

Now therefore, the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions enter into this Agreement relating to the allocation, distribution, and use of the proceeds received as a result of the global settlements with the Secondary Manufacturers

I. DEFINITIONS

- A. "Approved Uses" means any opioid or substance use disorder related projects or programs that fall within the list of uses in Schedule F.
- B. "Lead State Agency" means the New York State Office of Addiction Services and Supports. As provided for in Section V, The Lead State Agency will coordinate with the New York Department of Health, the New York Office of Mental Health, and the New York Division of Housing and Community Renewal, as well as other agencies, to expend and oversee funds received from the Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlements deposited into the Opioid Settlement Fund.
- C. The "Advisory Board" means the advisory board created and described by N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 25.18(c).
- D. "Direct Share Subdivision" means every county of the State of New York other than the County of Nassau, the County of Suffolk, and the City of New York.

- E. "Large New York Cities" means New York cities other than New York City with a 2020 population of more than 90,000 – *i.e.*, the cities of Albany, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse and Yonkers.
- F. "New York Subdivisions" means each county, city, town, village or special district in New York.
- G. "Parties" means the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions who execute this agreement.
- H. "Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlements" shall mean the national settlements with Mylan Inc., Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Hikma Pharmaceuticals plc, Indivior plc, Apotex Inc., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Alvogen, Inc. and Zydus Lifesciences Limited.
- I. "Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds" shall mean the monetary amounts obtained by the State of New York from the Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlements.
- J. "Opioid Settlement Fund" means the fund created by Section IV, which will be used or distributed in accordance with Section IV and this Agreement.

II. GENERAL FINANCIAL AND STRUCTURE TERMS

- A. **Scope of Agreement.** This Agreement applies to New York State's share of the Remediation and Product Conversation Payments from the Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlements.
- B. **Allocation and Distribution of Funds for Restitution and Abatement.** With the exception of New York's share of Additional Remediation payments, 100% of which shall be distributed to the Lead State Agency to be placed in the Opioid Settlement Fund for Discretionary Spending on Approved Uses, all Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds shall be allocated and distributed as follows, with all percentages calculated using a denominator of New York's share of the Remediation Payments plus Product Conversation Payments.
 - 1. **16.39%** to the Lead State Agency to be placed in the Opioid Settlement Fund for Regional Spending on Approved Uses. In combination, the amount of Regional Spending of the Opioid Settlement Fund committed to the Large New York Cities shall not be less than 1.89% of the total Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds. Additionally, Regional Spending in an amount of 0.18% of the Secondary Manufacturers Opioids Settlement Funds from the Mylan, Amneal and Hikma settlements shall be committed to the other litigating municipalities as listed in Schedule C and Regional Spending in an amount of 0.10% of the Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds from the Indivior settlement shall be committed the to the other litigating municipalities as listed in Schedule D.
 - 2. **36.81%** to the Lead State Agency to be placed in the Opioid Settlement Fund for Discretionary Spending on Approved Uses and for Administration of the Opioid Settlement Fund.

3. **10.80%** to the Direct Share Subdivisions for spending on Approved Uses ("Direct Restricted Funds").
4. **6.68%** to the County of Nassau for spending on Approved Uses.
5. **8.63%** to the County of Suffolk for spending on Approved Uses.
6. **0.69%** to the Large New York Cities for spending on Approved Uses ("Large New York Cities Restricted Funds").
7. **20.00%** to the City of New York for spending on Approved Uses.

- C. **Execution of Releases.** Every New York Subdivision that is entitled to Secondary Manufacturer Opioid Settlement Funds under this Agreement that has filed suit against a Secondary Manufacturer (as defined above) must timely execute and submit a release under that Secondary Manufacturer Opioids Settlement or lose its entitlement to all shares of Secondary Manufacturer Opioid Settlement Funds paid by that Secondary Manufacturer, whether distributed directly to them or through the Opioid Settlement Fund by the Lead State Agency.
- D. **Redistribution in Certain Situations.** In the event a New York Subdivision merges, dissolves, or ceases to exist, the allocation percentage(s) for that New York Subdivision shall be redistributed equitably based on the composition of the successor New York Subdivision. If a New York Subdivision for any reason is excluded from receiving Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds, including because it does not execute and submit a release as required by Section II.C, the allocation percentage(s) for that New York Subdivision shall be redistributed equitably among the remaining participating New York Subdivisions.
- E. **Direct Payment of Certain Funds.** All Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds allocated to the Direct Share Subdivisions, the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, the Large New York Cities and the City of New York pursuant to Sections II.B.3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 shall be paid directly and as promptly as reasonably practicable to the Direct Share Subdivisions, the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, the Large New York Cities, and the City of New York, respectively.
- F. **Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.** Unless state law or the applicable Statewide Opioid Settlement Agreement provides otherwise, Attorneys' fees and expenses will be determined and paid according to each Direct Share Subdivision's and New York Subdivision's contracts with its respective counsel.

III. THE DIRECT SHARE SUBDIVISION AND CITY OF NEW YORK FUNDS

- A. **Distribution of the Direct Share Subdivision Funds.** The Direct Restricted Funds shall be paid to the Direct Share Subdivisions and will be fully distributed among them pursuant to the allocation set forth in Schedule A to this Agreement. The Large New York Cities Restricted Funds shall be paid to the Large New York Cities and will be fully distributed among them pursuant to the allocation set forth in Schedule B to this Agreement.

- B. **Certification of Spending on Approved Uses.** Each year, the Direct Share Subdivisions, the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, the Large New York Cities, and the City of New York shall certify to the Lead State Agency and the Advisory Board that all funds distributed to them pursuant to Sections II.B.3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of this Agreement, as well as any interest earned on those funds, which were spent during the preceding year, were spent on projects and programs that constitute Approved Uses. These certifications shall be made by August 1 of each year following the year in which such funds were spent and shall be accompanied by a detailed accounting of the spending of such funds as well as analysis and evaluation of the projects and programs they have funded.

IV. THE OPIOID SETTLEMENT FUND

A. **Establishment of the Opioid Settlement Fund.**

1. Each year the Lead State Agency will allocate approximately **30.81%** of the Opioid Settlement Fund (16.39% of the total Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds) for Approved Uses in the various regions, Large New York Cities and other litigating municipalities of New York State, except New York City and the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, pursuant to a commitment to spend in each the corresponding percentages shown in Schedule C (for the Mylan, Amneal and Hikma settlements), Schedule D (for the Indivior settlement) or Schedule E (for the Apotex, Sun Pharmaceutical, Alvogen and Zydus settlements). Of this amount, at least 1.89% of the total Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds received by New York shall be set aside for Large New York Cities, as listed in Schedule C (for the Mylan, Amneal and Hikma settlements) and Schedule D (for the Indivior settlement) and Schedule E (for the Apotex, Sun Pharmaceutical, Alvogen and Zydus settlements). Furthermore, at least 0.18% of the total Opioids Settlement Funds from the Mylan, Amneal and Hikma settlements shall be committed the to the other litigating municipalities listed in Schedule C and at least 0.10% of the Opioid Settlement Funds from the Indivior settlement shall be committed the to the other litigating municipalities listed in Schedule D. Each New York Subdivision other than New York City and the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk may apply for and receive funds from the Opioid Settlement Fund, provided however, that each such Subdivision shall, as a condition to the receipt of these funds, certify at the end of each fiscal year during which it receives such funds that all funds provided to it under this provision of the Agreement, as well as any interest earned on such funds, were spent on projects and programs that constitute Approved Uses and provided that it complies with the reporting requirements set forth in Section IV.D.
2. Each year the Lead State Agency will set aside approximately **69.19%** of the Opioid Settlement Fund (36.81% of the total Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds) for spending by the Lead State Agency to (a) fund State projects that constitute Approved Uses, and (b) carry out the duties of the Lead State Agency and Advisory Board under this Agreement, including oversight and administration of the Opioid Settlement Fund and the Advisory Board. No more than 5% of the total Opioid Settlement Fund may be used in any fiscal year for oversight and administrative costs of the Opioid Settlement Fund and

the Advisory Board.

- B. **Approved Uses.** The Approved Uses are set forth in Schedule F below. The Advisory Board may recommend to the Legislature adding or removing Approved Uses in response to changing substance use disorder needs in the state. The Advisory Board may not recommend that Approved Uses be removed from the list of Approved Uses without the vote of three-fourths of the present members of the Advisory Board. Funds required to be spent on "Approved Uses" must be used to increase or supplement the resources of the receiving local government or agency that are spent on Approved Uses. Such funds shall not be used to replace or supplant the government's or agency's appropriated resources. In determining whether supplantation has occurred, the Lead State Agency shall examine the government's or agency's budget as a whole to ensure that all Secondary Manufacturers Opioid Settlement Funds increase the entire Approved Use budget for the government or agency.
- C. **Oversight and Auditing.** The Lead State Agency will engage in oversight and audits of projects and programs funded through the Opioid Settlement Fund.
- D. **New York Subdivision Reporting.** Each New York Subdivision that receives funds from the Opioid Settlement Fund under this Agreement will annually provide to the Lead State Agency and Advisory Board a detailed accounting of the spending of such funds as well as analysis and evaluation of the projects and programs it has funded. Such accounting shall be provided by August 1 of each year following the year in which such funds were spent. The Lead Agency may withhold future funds from any New York Subdivision that is delinquent in providing this reporting, until the required report is submitted.
- E. **Lead Agency Reporting.** The Lead State Agency and other relevant government commissioners, in consultation with the Advisory Board, will annually provide the Governor, Speaker of the Assembly, the Temporary President of the Senate, and other legislative leaders as provided by law, a written report, which, among other things, provides a detailed accounting of the previous year's spending of all monies in the Opioid Settlement Fund, any spending by the Direct Share Subdivisions pursuant to Section II.B.3, any spending by the Counties of Nassau or Suffolk pursuant to Sections II.B.4 and 5, any spending by the Large New York Cities pursuant to Section II.B.6, and any spending by New York City pursuant to Section II.B.7, as well as an analysis and evaluation of the projects and programs so funded. This report shall be provided on or before November 1 of each year, beginning one year after the initial deposit of monies in the Opioid Settlement Fund. At the same time, in consultation with the Advisory Board, the Lead State Agency will report annually the results of research funded by funds from this Agreement, the status of any outstanding audits, and the non-binding recommendations of the Advisory Board.


V. THE ROLE OF THE ADVISORY BOARD

The Advisory Board established pursuant N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 25.18(c) will constitute the Advisory Board for this agreement.

VI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

The Supreme Court, County of Suffolk, shall retain jurisdiction of the Parties for the purpose of this Agreement, including its interpretation and enforcement.

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General of the State of New York

By: 
Jennifer Levy, First Deputy Attorney General
Office of the New York State Attorney General
28 Liberty Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
Tel: 212-416-8450
Jennifer.Levy@ag.ny.gov

Date: // 2025

Counsel for The People of the State of New York

ADDITIONAL SIGNATORIES:

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Schedule A

Allegany	0.492651319%
Cattaraugus	0.885804166%
Chautauqua	1.712744591%
Erie	13.981832649%
Niagara	3.416877066%
<u>Western Region</u>	<u>20.489909791%</u>

Genesee	0.710630089%
Livingston	0.678797077%
Monroe	9.384433024%
Ontario	1.309944722%
Orleans	0.412856571%
Seneca	0.386847050%
Wayne	0.994089249%
Wyoming	0.411657124%
Yates	0.247909288%
<u>Finger Lakes Region</u>	<u>14.537164194%</u>

Broome	2.790673871%
Chemung	1.231939720%
Chenango	0.516475286%
Delaware	0.549364256%
Schuyler	0.208248729%
Steuben	1.137138754%
Tioga	0.542347836%
Tompkins	1.177586745%
<u>Southern Tier Region</u>	<u>8.153775199%</u>

Cayuga	0.903523653%
Cortland	0.541036257%
Madison	0.810595101%
Onondaga	6.323758786%
Oswego	1.549495093%
<u>Central NY Region</u>	<u>10.128408890%</u>

Fulton	0.462070473%
Herkimer	0.658308079%
Montgomery	0.453395949%
Oneida	2.826733181%
Otsego	0.670962131%
Schoharie	0.277769778%
<u>Mohawk Valley Region</u>	<u>5.349239592%</u>

Clinton	0.831513299%
---------	--------------

Essex	0.367293246%
Franklin	0.457353060%
Hamilton	0.030269643%
Jefferson	1.273686826%
Lewis	0.251124198%
St. Lawrence	1.234262202%
North Country Region	4.445502475%

Albany	2.791375201%
Columbia	0.656790382%
Greene	0.793267678%
Rensselaer	1.270734936%
Saratoga	1.679317072%
Schenectady	1.217397796%
Warren	0.612162823%
Washington	0.479903545%
Capital Region	9.500949434%

Dutchess	4.381104459%
Orange	5.187725669%
Putnam	1.184886753%
Rockland	3.081816868%
Sullivan	1.888626559%
Ulster	2.462996041%
Westchester	9.207894077%
Mid-Hudson Region	27.395050426%

Schedule B

<u>Albany</u>	<u>6.69566439%</u>
<u>Buffalo</u>	<u>33.53818545%</u>
<u>Rochester</u>	<u>22.51041501%</u>
<u>Syracuse</u>	<u>15.16878370%</u>
<u>Yonkers</u>	<u>22.08695145%</u>

Schedule C

Western Region	17.902105443%
Finger Lakes Region	12.701170913%
Southern Tier Region	7.123981748%
Central NY Region	8.849226071%
Mohawk Valley Region	4.673649235%
North Country Region	3.884050972%
Capital Region	8.301012562%
Mid-Hudson Region	23.935150830%
Albany	0.772105290%
Buffalo	3.867429560%
Rochester	2.595770859%
Syracuse	1.749176400%
Yonkers	2.546939490%
Amsterdam City	0.043793638%
Auburn City	0.139176020%
Geneva City	0.057203724%
Herkimer Village	0.025449265%
Lackawanna City	0.033500073%
Ogdensburg City	0.033230003%
Poughkeepsie City	0.219365511%
Rome City	0.114936335%
Saratoga Springs City	0.103891975%
Utica City	0.327684983%

Schedule D

<u>Western Region</u>	<u>18.002117205%</u>
<u>Finger Lakes Region</u>	<u>12.772127175%</u>
<u>Southern Tier Region</u>	<u>7.163780529%</u>
<u>Central NY Region</u>	<u>8.898663088%</u>
<u>Mohawk Valley Region</u>	<u>4.699759007%</u>
<u>North Country Region</u>	<u>3.905749581%</u>
<u>Capital Region</u>	<u>8.347386934%</u>
<u>Mid-Hudson Region</u>	<u>24.068866756%</u>
<u>Albany</u>	<u>0.772105290%</u>
<u>Buffalo</u>	<u>3.867429560%</u>
<u>Rochester</u>	<u>2.595770859%</u>
<u>Syracuse</u>	<u>1.749176400%</u>
<u>Yonkers</u>	<u>2.546939490%</u>
<u>Geneva City</u>	<u>0.058955060%</u>
<u>Herkimer Village</u>	<u>0.026228414%</u>
<u>Lackawanna City</u>	<u>0.034525703%</u>
<u>Ogdensburg City</u>	<u>0.034247366%</u>
<u>Rome City</u>	<u>0.118455199%</u>
<u>Utica City</u>	<u>0.337716384%</u>

Schedule E

<u>Western Region</u>	<u>18.127131908%</u>
<u>Finger Lakes Region</u>	<u>12.860822502%</u>
<u>Southern Tier Region</u>	<u>7.213529004%</u>
<u>Central NY Region</u>	<u>8.960459360%</u>
<u>Mohawk Valley Region</u>	<u>4.732396222%</u>
<u>North Country Region</u>	<u>3.932872842%</u>
<u>Capital Region</u>	<u>8.405354899%</u>
<u>Mid-Hudson Region</u>	<u>24.236011664%</u>
<u>Albany</u>	<u>0.772105290%</u>
<u>Buffalo</u>	<u>3.867429560%</u>
<u>Rochester</u>	<u>2.595770859%</u>
<u>Syracuse</u>	<u>1.749176400%</u>
<u>Yonkers</u>	<u>2.546939490%</u>

Schedule F – Approved Uses

I. TREATMENT

A. TREAT OPIOID USE DISORDER (OUD)

Support treatment of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) and any co-occurring Substance Use Disorder or Mental Health (SUD/MH) conditions through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Expand availability of treatment for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including all forms of Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
2. Support and reimburse services that include the full American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) continuum of care for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including but not limited to:
 - a. Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT);
 - b. Abstinence-based treatment;
 - c. Treatment, recovery, or other services provided by states, subdivisions, community health centers; non-for-profit providers; or for-profit providers;
 - d. Treatment by providers that focus on OUD treatment as well as treatment by providers that offer OUD treatment along with treatment for other SUD/MH conditions; or
 - e. Evidence-informed residential services programs, as noted below.
3. Expand telehealth to increase access to treatment for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including MAT, as well as counseling, psychiatric support, and other treatment and recovery support services.
4. Improve oversight of Opioid Treatment Programs (OTPs) to assure evidence-based, evidence-informed or promising practices such as adequate methadone dosing and low threshold approaches to treatment.
5. Support mobile intervention, treatment, and recovery services, offered by qualified professionals and service providers, such as peer recovery coaches, for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions and for persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.
6. Treatment of mental health trauma resulting from the traumatic experiences of the opioid user (e.g., violence, sexual assault, human trafficking, or adverse childhood experiences) and family members (e.g., surviving family members after an overdose or overdose fatality), and training of health care personnel to identify and address such trauma.
7. Support detoxification (detox) and withdrawal management services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including medical detox, referral to treatment, or connections to other services or supports.

8. Training for MAT for health care providers, students, or other supporting professionals, such as peer recovery coaches or recovery outreach specialists, including telementoring to assist community-based providers in rural or underserved areas.
9. Support workforce development for addiction professionals who work with persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
10. Fellowships for addiction medicine specialists for direct patient care, instructors, and clinical research for treatments.
11. Scholarships and supports for certified addiction counselors and other mental and behavioral health providers involved in addressing OUD any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including but not limited to training, scholarships, fellowships, loan repayment programs, or other incentives for providers to work in rural or underserved areas.
12. Scholarships for persons to become certified addiction counselors, licensed alcohol and drug counselors, licensed clinical social workers, and licensed mental health counselors practicing in the SUD field, and scholarships for certified addiction counselors, licensed alcohol and drug counselors, licensed clinical social workers, and licensed mental health counselors practicing in the SUD field for continuing education and licensing fees.
13. Provide funding and training for clinicians to obtain a waiver under the federal Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000 (DATA 2000) to prescribe MAT for OUD and provide technical assistance and professional support for clinicians who have obtained a DATA 2000 waiver.
14. Dissemination of web-based training curricula, such as the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry's Provider Clinical Support Service-Opioids web-based training curriculum and motivational interviewing.
15. Development and dissemination of new curricula, such as the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry's Provider Clinical Support Service for Medication-Assisted Treatment.

B. SUPPORT PEOPLE IN TREATMENT AND RECOVERY

Support people in treatment for and recovery from OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Provide the full continuum of care of recovery services for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including supportive housing, residential treatment, medical detox services, peer support services and counseling, community navigators, case management, transportation, and connections to community-based services.
2. Provide counseling, peer-support, recovery case management and residential treatment with access to medications for those who need it to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

3. Provide access to housing for people with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including supportive housing, recovery housing, housing assistance programs, or training for housing providers.
4. Provide community support services, including social and legal services, to assist in deinstitutionalizing persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
5. Support or expand peer-recovery centers, which may include support groups, social events, computer access, or other services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
6. Provide or support transportation to treatment or recovery programs or services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
7. Provide employment training or educational services for persons in treatment for or recovery from OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
8. Identifying successful recovery programs such as physician, pilot, and college recovery programs, and providing support and technical assistance to increase the number and capacity of high-quality programs to help those in recovery.
9. Engaging non-profits, faith-based communities, and community coalitions to support people in treatment and recovery and to support family members in their efforts to manage the opioid user in the family.
10. Training and development of procedures for government staff to appropriately interact and provide social and other services to current and recovering opioid users, including reducing stigma.
11. Support stigma reduction efforts regarding treatment and support for persons with OUD, including reducing the stigma on effective treatment.
12. Create or support culturally-appropriate services and programs for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including new Americans.
13. Create and/or support recovery high schools.

C. CONNECT PEOPLE WHO NEED HELP TO THE HELP THEY NEED (CONNECTIONS TO CARE)

Provide connections to care for people who have – or at risk of developing – OUD and any cooccurring SUD/MH conditions through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Ensure that health care providers are screening for OUD and other risk factors and know how to appropriately counsel and treat (or refer if necessary) a patient for OUD treatment.

2. Fund Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) programs to reduce the transition from use to disorders.
3. Provide training and long-term implementation of SBIRT in key systems (health, schools, colleges, criminal justice, and probation), with a focus on youth and young adults when transition from misuse to opioid disorder is most common.
4. Purchase automated versions of SBIRT and support ongoing costs of the technology.
5. Training for emergency room personnel treating opioid overdose patients on post-discharge planning, including community referrals for MAT, recovery case management or support services.
6. Support hospital programs that transition persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, or persons who have experienced an opioid overdose, into community treatment or recovery services through a bridge clinic or similar approach.
7. Support crisis stabilization centers that serve as an alternative to hospital emergency departments for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or persons that have experienced an opioid overdose.
8. Support the work of Emergency Medical Systems, including peer support specialists, to connect individuals to treatment or other appropriate services following an opioid overdose or other opioid-related adverse event.
9. Provide funding for peer support specialists or recovery coaches in emergency departments, detox facilities, recovery centers, recovery housing, or similar settings; offer services, supports, or connections to care to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or to persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.
10. Provide funding for peer navigators, recovery coaches, care coordinators, or care managers that offer assistance to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or to persons who have experienced on opioid overdose.
11. Create or support school-based contacts that parents can engage with to seek immediate treatment services for their child; and supporting prevention, intervention, treatment, and recovery programs focused on young people.
12. Develop and support best practices on addressing OUD in the workplace.
13. Support assistance programs for health care providers with OUD.
14. Engage non-profits and faith community as a system to support outreach for treatment.
15. Support centralized call centers that provide information and connections to appropriate services and supports for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

16. Create or support intake and call centers to facilitate education and access to treatment, prevention, and recovery services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
17. Develop or support a National Treatment Availability Clearinghouse – a multistate/nationally accessible database whereby health care providers can list locations for currently available in-patient and out-patient OUD treatment services that are accessible on a real-time basis by persons who seek treatment.

D. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF CRIMINAL-JUSTICE INVOLVED PERSONS

Address the needs of persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are involved – or are at risk of becoming involved – in the criminal justice system through evidence-based, evidence-informed or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Support pre-arrest and pre-arraignment diversion and deflection strategies for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including established strategies such as:
 - a. Self-referral strategies such as the Angel Programs or the Police Assisted Addiction Recovery Initiative (PAARI);
 - b. Active outreach strategies such as the Drug Abuse Response Team (DART) model;
 - c. “Naloxone Plus” strategies, which work to ensure that individuals who have received Naloxone to reverse the effects of an overdose are then linked to treatment programs or other appropriate services;
 - d. Officer prevention strategies, such as the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) model; or
 - e. Officer intervention strategies such as the Leon County, Florida Adult Civil Citation Network or the Chicago Westside Narcotics Diversion to Treatment Initiative; or
 - f. Co-responder and/or alternative responder models to address OUD-related 911 calls with greater SUD expertise and to reduce perceived barriers associated with law enforcement 911 responses.
2. Support pre-trial services that connect individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, and related services.
3. Support treatment and recovery courts for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, but only if they provide referrals to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT.
4. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, harm reduction, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are incarcerated in jail or prison.
5. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, harm reduction, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are leaving jail or prison, who have recently left jail or prison, are on probation or parole, are under community corrections supervision, or are in re-entry programs or facilities.

6. Support critical time interventions (CTI), particularly for individuals living with dual-diagnosis OUD/serious mental illness, and services for individuals who face immediate risks and service needs and risks upon release from correctional settings.
7. Provide training on best practices for addressing the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions to law enforcement, correctional, or judicial personnel or to providers of treatment, recovery, harm reduction, case management, or other services offered in connection with any of the strategies described in this section.

E. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF PREGNANT OR PARENTING WOMEN AND THEIR FAMILIES, INCLUDING BABIES WITH NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME

Address the needs of pregnant or parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, and the needs of their families, including babies with neonatal abstinence syndrome, through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Support evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising treatment, including MAT, recovery services and supports, and prevention services for pregnant women – or women who could become pregnant – who have OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, and other measures educate and provide support to families affected by Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome.
2. Training for obstetricians and other healthcare personnel that work with pregnant women and their families regarding OUD treatment and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
3. Provide training to health care providers who work with pregnant or parenting women on best practices for compliance with federal requirements that children born with Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome get referred to appropriate services and receive a plan of safe care.
4. Child and family supports for parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
5. Enhanced family supports and child care services for parents with OUD and any cooccurring SUD/MH conditions.
6. Provide enhanced support for children and family members suffering trauma as a result of addiction in the family; and offer trauma-informed behavioral health treatment for adverse childhood events.
7. Offer home-based wrap-around services to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including but not limited to parent skills training.
8. Support for Children's Services – Fund additional positions and services, including supportive housing and other residential services, relating to children being removed from the home and/or placed in foster care due to custodial opioid use.

II. PREVENTION

F. PREVENT OVER-PRESCRIBING AND ENSURE APPROPRIATE PRESCRIBING AND DISPENSING OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to prevent over-prescribing and ensure appropriate prescribing and dispensing of opioids through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Training for health care providers regarding safe and responsible opioid prescribing, dosing, and tapering patients off opioids.
2. Academic counter-detailing to educate prescribers on appropriate opioids prescribing.
3. Continuing Medical Education (CME) on appropriate prescribing of opioids.
4. Support for non-opioid pain treatment alternatives, including training providers to offer or refer to multi-modal, evidence-informed treatment of pain.
5. Support enhancements or improvements to Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs), including but not limited to improvements that:
 - a. Increase the number of prescribers using PDMPs;
 - b. Improve point-of-care decision-making by increasing the quantity, quality, or format of data available to prescribers using PDMPs, by improving the interface that prescribers use to access PDMP data, or both; or
 - c. Enable states to use PDMP data in support of surveillance or intervention strategies, including MAT referrals and follow-up for individuals identified within PDMP data as likely to experience OUD.
6. Development and implementation of a national PDMP – Fund development of a multistate/national PDMP that permits information sharing while providing appropriate safeguards on sharing of private health information, including but not limited to:
 - a. Integration of PDMP data with electronic health records, overdose episodes, and decision support tools for health care providers relating to OUD.
 - b. Ensuring PDMPs incorporate available overdose/naloxone deployment data, including the United States Department of Transportation's Emergency Medical Technician overdose database.
7. Increase electronic prescribing to prevent diversion or forgery.
8. Educating Dispensers on appropriate opioid dispensing.

G. PREVENT MISUSE OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to discourage or prevent misuse of opioids through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Corrective advertising or affirmative public education campaigns based on evidence.
2. Public education relating to drug disposal.
3. Drug take-back disposal or destruction programs.
4. Fund community anti-drug coalitions that engage in drug prevention efforts.
5. Support community coalitions in implementing evidence-informed prevention, such as reduced social access and physical access, stigma reduction – including staffing, educational campaigns, support for people in treatment or recovery, or training of coalitions in evidence-informed implementation, including the Strategic Prevention Framework developed by the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).
6. Engaging non-profits and faith community as a system to support prevention.
7. Support evidence-informed school and community education programs and campaigns for students, families, school employees, school athletic programs, parent-teacher and student associations, and others.
8. School-based or youth-focused programs or strategies that have demonstrated effectiveness in preventing drug misuse and seem likely to be effective in preventing the uptake and use of opioids.
9. Support community-based education or intervention services for families, youth, and adolescents at risk for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
10. Support evidence-informed programs or curricula to address mental health needs of young people who may be at risk of misusing opioids or other drugs, including emotional modulation and resilience skills.
11. Support greater access to mental health services and supports for young people, including services and supports provided by school nurses or other school staff, to address mental health needs in young people that (when not properly addressed) increase the risk of opioid or other drug misuse.

H. PREVENT OVERDOSE DEATHS AND OTHER HARMS (HARM REDUCTION)

Support efforts to prevent or reduce overdose deaths or other opioid-related harms through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Increasing availability and distribution of naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses to first responders, overdose patients, opioid users, families and friends of opioid users, schools, community navigators and outreach workers, drug offenders upon release from jail/prison, and other members of the general public.
2. Public health entities provide free naloxone to anyone in the community, including but not limited to provision of intra-nasal naloxone in settings where other options are not available or allowed.
3. Training and education regarding naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses for first responders, overdose patients, patients taking opioids, families, schools, and other members of the general public.
4. Enable school nurses and other school staff to respond to opioid overdoses, and provide them with naloxone, training, and support.
5. Expand, improve, or develop data tracking software and applications for overdoses/naloxone revivals.
6. Public education relating to emergency responses to overdoses.
7. Public education relating to immunity and Good Samaritan laws.
8. Educate first responders regarding the existence and operation of immunity and Good Samaritan laws.
9. Syringe service programs and other evidence-informed programs to reduce harms associated with intravenous drug use, including supplies, staffing, space, peer support services, referrals to treatment, fentanyl checking, connections to care, and the full range of harm reduction and treatment services provided by these programs.
10. Expand access to testing and treatment for infectious diseases such as HIV and Hepatitis C resulting from intravenous opioid use.
11. Support mobile units that offer or provide referrals to harm reduction services, treatment, recovery supports, health care, or other appropriate services to persons that use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
12. Provide training in harm reduction strategies to health care providers, students, peer recovery coaches, recovery outreach specialists, or other professionals that provide care to persons who use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
13. Support screening for fentanyl in routine clinical toxicology testing.

III. OTHER STRATEGIES

I. FIRST RESPONDERS

In addition to items C8, D1 through D7, H1, H3, and H8, support the following:

1. Law enforcement expenditures related to the opioid epidemic
2. Educate law enforcement or other first responders regarding appropriate practices and precautions when dealing with fentanyl or other drugs.
3. Provisions of wellness and support services for first responders and others who experience secondary trauma associated with opioid-related emergency events.

J. LEADERSHIP, PLANNING AND COORDINATION

Support efforts to provide leadership, planning, and coordination to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Community regional planning to identify goals for reducing harms related to the opioid epidemic, to identify areas and populations with the greatest needs for treatment intervention services, or to support other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list including, but not limited to costs associated with local opioid task forces, community buprenorphine waiver trainings, and coordination and operation of community-based treatment prevention programming.
2. A government dashboard to track key opioid-related indicators and supports as identified through collaborative community processes.
3. Invest in infrastructure or staffing at government or not-for-profit agencies to support collaborative, cross-system coordination with the purpose of preventing overprescribing, opioid misuse, or opioid overdoses, treating those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, supporting them in treatment or recovery, connecting them to care, or implementing other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list.
4. Provide resources to staff government oversight and management of opioid abatement programs.

K. TRAINING

In addition to the training referred to in items above A7, A8, A9, A12, A13, A14, A15, B7, B10, C3, C5, E2, E4, F1, F3, F8, G5, H3, H12, and I2, support training to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Provide funding for staff training or network programs and services regarding the capability of government, community, and not-for-profit entities to abate the opioid crisis.
2. Support infrastructure and staffing for collaborative cross-systems coordination to prevent opioid misuse, prevent overdoses, and treat those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, or implement other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list (e.g., health care, primary care, pharmacies, PDMPs, etc.).

L. RESEARCH

Support opioid abatement research that may include, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Monitoring, surveillance, and evaluation of programs and strategies described in this opioid abatement strategy list.
2. Research non-opioid treatment of chronic pain.
3. Research improved service delivery for modalities such as SBIRT that demonstrate promising but mixed results in populations vulnerable to opioid use disorders.
4. Research on novel harm reduction and prevention efforts such as the provision of fentanyl test strips.
5. Research on innovative supply-side enforcement efforts such as improved detection of mail-based delivery of synthetic opioids.
6. Expanded research on swift/certain/fair models to reduce and deter opioid misuse within criminal justice populations that build upon promising approaches used to address other substances (e.g. Hawaii HOPE and Dakota 24/7).
7. Research on expanded modalities such as prescription methadone that can expand access to MAT.
8. Epidemiological surveillance of OUD-related behaviors in critical populations including individuals entering the criminal justice system, including but not limited to approaches modeled on the Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring (ADAM) system.
9. Qualitative and quantitative research regarding public health risks and harm reduction opportunities within illicit drug markets, including surveys of market participants who sell or distribute illicit opioids.
10. Geospatial analysis of access barriers to MAT and their association with treatment engagement and treatment outcomes.

M. POST-MORTEM

1. Toxicology tests for the range of synthetic opioids presently seen in overdose deaths as well as newly evolving synthetic opioids infiltrating the drug supply.
2. Toxicology method development and method validation for the range of synthetic opioids observed now and in the future, including the cost of installation, maintenance, repairs and training of capital equipment.
3. Autopsies in cases of overdose deaths resulting from opioids and synthetic opioids.

4. Additional storage space/facilities for bodies directly related to opioid or synthetic opioid related deaths.
5. Comprehensive death investigations for individuals where a death is caused by or suspected to have been caused by an opioid or synthetic opioid overdose, whether intentional or accidental.
6. Indigent burial for unclaimed remains resulting from overdose deaths.
7. Navigation-to-care services for individuals with opioid use disorder who are encountered by the medical examiner's office as either family and/or social network members of decedents dying of opioid overdose.
8. Epidemiologic data management and reporting to public health and public safety stakeholders regarding opioid overdose fatalities.

EXHIBIT

B

EXHIBIT K

Secondary Manufacturers' Combined Subdivision Participation and Release Form **("Combined Participation Form")**

Governmental Entity:	State:
Authorized Official:	
Address 1:	
Address 2:	
City, State, Zip:	
Phone:	
Email:	

The governmental entity identified above ("*Governmental Entity*"), in order to obtain and in consideration for the benefits provided to the Governmental Entity pursuant to each of the settlements which are listed in paragraph 1 below (each a "Secondary Manufacturer's Settlement" and collectively, "the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements"), and acting through the undersigned authorized official, hereby elects to participate in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, release all Released Claims against all Released Entities in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, and agrees as follows.

1. The Participating Entity hereby elects to participate in each of the following Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements as a Participating Entity:
 - a. Settlement Agreement for Alvogen, Inc. dated April 4, 2025.
 - b. Settlement Agreement for Apotex Corp. dated April 4, 2025.
 - c. Settlement Agreement for Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC dated April 4, 2025.
 - d. Settlement Agreement for Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. dated April 4, 2025.
 - e. Settlement Agreement for Indivior Inc. dated April 4, 2025.
 - f. Settlement Agreement for Viatris Inc. ("Mylan") dated April 4, 2025.
 - g. Settlement Agreement for Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc. dated April 4, 2025.
 - h. Settlement Agreement for Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. dated April 4, 2025.
2. The Governmental Entity is aware of and has reviewed each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, understands that all capitalized terms not defined in this Combined Participation Form have the meanings defined in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, and agrees that by executing this Combined Participation Form, the Governmental Entity elects to participate in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements and become a Participating Subdivision as provided in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements.
3. The Governmental Entity shall promptly, and in any event no later than 14 days after the Reference Date and prior to the filing of the Consent Judgment, dismiss with prejudice any Released Claims that it has filed against any Released Entity in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements. With respect to any Released Claims pending in *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, MDL No. 2804, the Governmental Entity

authorizes the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee to execute and file on behalf of the Governmental Entity a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice for each of the manufacturers listed in paragraph 1 above substantially in the form found at <https://nationalopioidsettlement.com/additional-settlements/>.

4. The Governmental Entity agrees to the terms of each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements pertaining to Participating Subdivisions as defined therein.
5. By agreeing to the terms of each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements and becoming a Releasor, the Governmental Entity is entitled to the benefits provided therein, including, if applicable, monetary payments beginning after the Effective Date.
6. The Governmental Entity agrees to use any monies it receives through each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements solely for the purposes provided therein.
7. The Governmental Entity submits to the jurisdiction of the court and agrees to follow the process for resolving any disputes related to each Secondary Manufacturer's Settlement as described in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements.¹
8. The Governmental Entity has the right to enforce each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements as provided therein.
9. The Governmental Entity, as a Participating Subdivision, hereby becomes a Releasor for all purposes in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, including without limitation all provisions related to release of any claims,² and along with all departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, and any person in his or her official capacity whether elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, and any other entity identified in the definition of Releasor, provides for a release to the fullest extent of its authority. As a Releasor, the Governmental Entity hereby absolutely, unconditionally, and irrevocably covenants not to bring, file, or claim, or to cause, assist or permit to be brought, filed, or claimed, or to otherwise seek to establish liability for any Released Claims against any Released Entity in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements in any forum whatsoever. The releases provided for in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements are intended by the Parties to be broad and shall be interpreted so as to give the Released Entities in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements the broadest possible bar against any liability relating in any

¹ See Settlement Agreement for Alvogen, Inc. Section VII.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Apotex Corp. Section VII.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC Section VII.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. Section VII.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Indivior Section VI.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Mylan Section VI.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc. Section VII.F.2; Settlement Agreement for Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. Section VII.F.2.

² See Settlement Agreement for Alvogen, Inc. Section XI; Settlement Agreement for Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC Section X; Settlement Agreement for Apotex Corp. Section XI; Settlement Agreement for Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. Section XI; Settlement Agreement for Indivior Section X; Settlement Agreement for Mylan Section X; Settlement Agreement for Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc. Section XI; Settlement Agreement for Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. Section XI.

way to Released Claims and extend to the full extent of the power of the Governmental Entity to release claims. Each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements shall be a complete bar to any Released Claim against that manufacturer's Released Entities.

10. The Governmental Entity hereby takes on all rights and obligations of a Participating Subdivision as set forth in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements.
11. In connection with the releases provided for in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, each Governmental Entity expressly waives, releases, and forever discharges any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

General Release; extent. A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release that, if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

A Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which it knows, believes, or assumes to be true with respect to the Released Claims in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, but each Governmental Entity hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles, releases and discharges, upon the Effective Date, any and all Released Claims that may exist as of such date but which Releasors do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or through no fault whatsoever, and which, if known, would materially affect the Governmental Entities' decision to participate in each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements.

12. The Governmental Entity understands and acknowledges that each of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements is an independent agreement with its own terms and conditions. Nothing herein is intended to modify in any way the terms of any of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements, to which Governmental Entity hereby agrees, aside from the exceptions in paragraph 13 below. To the extent this Combined Participation Form is interpreted differently from any of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements in any respect, the individual Secondary Manufacturer's Settlement controls.
13. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event that some but not all of the Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements proceed past their respective Reference Dates, all releases and other commitments or obligations shall become void *only as to* those Secondary Manufacturers' Settlements that fail to proceed past their Reference Dates. All releases and other commitments or obligations (including those contained in this Combined Participation Form) shall remain in full effect as to each Secondary Manufacturer's Settlement that proceeds past its Reference Date, and this Combined Participation Form need not be modified, returned, or destroyed as long as any Secondary Manufacturer's Settlement proceeds past its Reference Date.

I have all necessary power and authorization to execute this Combined Participation Form on behalf of the Governmental Entity.

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Vedat GashiChairman of the Board
Legislator, 4th DistrictTO: Hon. Jewel Williams Johnson
Chair, Budget & AppropriationsHon. Colin Smith
Chair, Law & Major ContractsFROM: Hon. Vedat Gashi
Chairman of the Board

DATE: September 18, 2025

RE: **ACT – OPIOID LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT**

As Chairman of the Board of Legislators, I am placing the below items directly into the Committees on Budget & Appropriations and Law & Major Contracts.

Thank you.

(ID: 2025-405) **ACT – Opioid Settlement - Additional Manufacturers**

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al., arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

(ID: 2025-406) **ACT - Opioid Settlement , Purdue/Sackler**

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of NY, Westchester County, titled The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al. arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

CC: Jill Axelrod
Marcello Figueroa
James Silverberg
Dylan Tragni
Sunday Vanderberg



Kenneth W. Jenkins
County Executive

Office of the County Attorney

John M. Nonna
County Attorney

September 18, 2025

Westchester County Board of Legislators
800 Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Re: An Act authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

Dear Honorable Members of the Board:

Attached for your review is proposed legislation that, if enacted, would authorize the County of Westchester to enter a settlement and general release agreement fully resolving the claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

The Instant Proceeding

On February 6, 2018, the County commenced an action against opioid manufacturers, distributors and dispensers in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester. The Complaint asserted several causes of action, such as deceptive marketing, false advertising, public nuisance, misrepresentation, fraud, negligence, and unjust enrichment

Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601
westchestercountyny.gov

Telephone: (914) 995-2600

Website:

and conspiracy, alleging that each of the Defendants contributed to the opioid epidemic that Westchester County has been battling and will continue to battle for the foreseeable future. The causes of action against the various Defendants are based on claims that they contributed to the opioid epidemic by violating state and federal statutes related to the manufacturing, distribution and sale of opioids, all of which contributed to a public health crisis. Since the litigation's inception in 2018, many of the County's claims against the Defendants have been resolved through settlement.

Terms of the Proposed Settlement

Defendant Purdue filed for bankruptcy in 2019. In 2021, a proposed settlement with the Sackler family defendants, along with a corresponding reorganization plan, was submitted to the bankruptcy court. That plan became the subject of additional litigation. It was challenged and ultimately vacated by the courts. Several states and other plaintiffs objected to the plan's provision releasing the Sackler family from personal liability. In 2024, the U.S. Supreme Court affirmed the invalidation of the proposed settlement and bankruptcy plan.

Following the Supreme Court's decision, the State AGs, Purdue, and the Sacklers resumed negotiations and reached a new, two-part proposed settlement. These settlements are being implemented in connection with Purdue's ongoing bankruptcy proceedings and include: (1) a settlement of direct claims against the Sackler family by states, local governments, and other creditors (the "Direct Settlement"), and (2) a settlement of Purdue's bankruptcy estate, which includes contributions from the Sacklers and certain other parties (the "Estate Settlement"). Under the revised plan, the Sackler family defendants will be required to pay \$6.5 billion over 15 years, including 1.5 billion in the first year.

The 13th Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue was filed with the Bankruptcy Court on May 16, 2025. As part of that reorganization, a Governmental Entity and Shareholder Direct Settlement Agreement ("GESA") was negotiated and was approved by all 50 state attorneys general. That agreement provides for a number of covenants or limitations by the Sackler Parties, including:

1. **Naming Rights** – the Sacklers agree: (i) not to seek naming rights using the "Sackler" name with respect to any charitable donations or organizations; and (ii) upon the effectiveness of the Plan, allow any institution that has provided naming rights to the Sacklers to remove the "Sackler" name from any physical facilities, academic, medical, and cultural programs, scholarships, endowments, etc.
2. **Opioid Business** – numerous people in the Sackler families are prohibited from, other than through the international affiliated companies they own, engaging directly or indirectly in the manufacturing or sale of opioids, and such persons that own any entities who are involved in the sale of opioids, may not actively manage such entities, shall not consent to any actions intended to lead to an expansion of the opioid business of such entities, and are required to use commercially reasonable best efforts to pursue exit opportunities with respect to such entities.

Pursuant to an allocation formula, if the County participates in the settlement, the County will be paid approximately \$5,000,000 (five million dollars) over 15 years, with approximately ¼ of that amount distributed during the first year. All of the settlement funds must be used for

“Approved Uses” (towards prevention, treatment, education and other types of abatement) as defined in the New York Global Payment Opioid Settlement Sharing Agreement.

In order to become a party to the Settlement Agreement, Westchester County has to do the following:


1. Sign on to the New York Purdue/Sackler Sharing Agreement (Exhibit “A”) attached;
2. Sign the Subdivision Participation and Release Form related to the Direct Settlement (Exhibit “B”) attached; and
3. Authorize Napoli Shkolnik to vote on its behalf in favor of Purdue’s bankruptcy plan by signing consent authorization

Recommendation of Westchester County Attorney’s Office

Litigation concerning the opioid epidemic has been pending for over seven years. Westchester County has suffered tremendous losses and continues to suffer the effects of the epidemic and will for the foreseeable future. The funds it will receive in this settlement will help offset some of those costs and can be used for future abatement purposes. The County Attorney recommends accepting this settlement, as opposed to assuming the risks and burdens of litigating against this Defendant individually.

I respectfully request authority from this Board pursuant to Section 158.11 of the Westchester County Charter to settle the above-referenced matter. I therefore recommend passage of the accompanying Act.

Very truly yours,


John M. Nonna
County Attorney

BOARD OF LEGISLATORS
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

Your Committee is in receipt of a proposed Act which, if enacted by your Board, would authorize the County of Westchester ("County") to enter a settlement and general release agreement fully resolving the pending claims of the County against Purdue Pharma ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan"), pending in a proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018 (transferred to the coordinated proceeding in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County) (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

On February 6, 2018, the County of Westchester commenced an action against opioid manufacturers, distributors and dispensers in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester. The Complaint asserted several causes of action, such as deceptive marketing, false advertising, public nuisance, misrepresentation, fraud, negligence, and unjust enrichment and conspiracy, alleging that each of the Defendants contributed to the opioid epidemic that Westchester County has been battling and will continue to battle for the foreseeable future. The causes of action against the various Defendants are based on claims that they contributed to the opioid epidemic by violating state and federal statutes related to the manufacturing, distribution and sale of opioids, all of which contributed to a public health crisis. Since the litigation's inception in 2018, many of the County's claims against the Defendants have been resolved through settlement.

Defendant Purdue filed for bankruptcy in 2019. In 2021, a proposed settlement with the Sackler family defendants, along with a corresponding reorganization plan, was submitted to the bankruptcy court. That plan became the subject of additional litigation. It was challenged and ultimately vacated by the courts. Several states and other plaintiffs objected to the plan's provision releasing the Sackler family from personal liability. In 2024, the U.S. Supreme Court affirmed the invalidation of the proposed settlement and bankruptcy plan.

Following the Supreme Court's decision, the State AGs, Purdue, and the Sacklers resumed negotiations and reached a new, two-part proposed settlement. These settlements are being implemented in connection with Purdue's ongoing bankruptcy proceedings and include: (1) a settlement of direct claims against the Sackler family by states, local governments, and other creditors (the "Direct Settlement"), and (2) a settlement of Purdue's bankruptcy estate, which includes contributions from the Sacklers and certain other parties (the "Estate Settlement"). Under the revised plan, the Sackler family defendants will be required to pay \$6.5 billion over 15 years, including 1.5 billion in the first year.

The 13th Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue was filed with the Bankruptcy Court on May 16, 2025. As part of that reorganization, a Governmental Entity and Shareholder Direct Settlement Agreement ("GESA") was negotiated and was approved by all 50 state attorneys general. That agreement provides for a number of covenants or limitations by the Sackler Parties, including:

1. Naming Rights – the Sacklers agree (i) not to seek naming rights using the "Sackler" name with respect to any charitable donations or organizations, and (ii) upon the effectiveness of the Plan, allow any institution that has provided naming rights to the

Sacklers to remove the “Sackler” name from any physical facilities, academic, medical, and cultural programs, scholarships, endowments, etc.

2. Opioid Business – numerous people in the Sackler families are prohibited from, other than through the international affiliated companies they own, engaging directly or indirectly in the manufacturing or sale of opioids and such persons that own any entities who are involved in the sale of opioids, may not actively manage such entities, shall not consent to any actions intended to lead to an expansion of the opioid business of such entities, and are required to use commercially reasonable best efforts to pursue exit opportunities with respect to such entities.

Pursuant to an allocation formula, if the County participates in the settlement, the County will be paid approximately \$5,000,000 (five million dollars) over 15 years, with approximately ¼ of that amount distributed during the first year. All of the settlement funds must be used for “Approved Uses” (towards prevention, treatment, education and other types of abatement) as defined in the New York Global Payment Opioid Settlement Sharing Agreement.

In order to become a party to the Settlement Agreement, Westchester County has to do the following:

1. Sign on to the New York Purdue/Sackler Sharing Agreement (Exhibit “A”) attached;
2. Sign the Subdivision Participation and Release Form related to the Direct Settlement (Exhibit “B”) attached;
3. Authorize Napoli Shkolnik to vote on its behalf in favor of Purdue’s bankruptcy plan by signing consent authorization.

Your Committee has carefully considered the matter and recommends approval of the annexed Act. The Act, which would authorize the County to enter into the proposed settlement to effectuate the resolution of the lawsuit, is in the best interests of the County.

Your Committee therefore recommends this Honorable Board approve the annexed Act authorizing the County to enter into the proposed settlement of the above-referenced lawsuit. An affirmative vote by a majority of the Board is required to pass this Act.

Dated: White Plains, New York
September 18, 2025

COMMITTEE ON

ACT NO. 2025

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Legislators of the County of Westchester as follows:

1. The County of Westchester is hereby authorized to settle its claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled *The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al.*, Index No. 51606/2018, transferred to the coordinated proceeding before Judge Garguilo in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Suffolk County and then transferred to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County (the "Instant Proceeding") arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.
2. The County Attorney or his designee is hereby authorized to execute and deliver all documents and take such actions as the County Attorney deems necessary or desirable to accomplish the purpose hereof.
3. This Act shall take effect immediately.

EXHIBIT

A

NEW YORK OPIOID SETTLEMENT SHARING AGREEMENT – PURDUE PHARMA AND SACKLER SETTLEMENT

This Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions governing the sharing and allocation of funds between and among the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions (as defined below) received under the Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma, L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors (“Purdue Bankruptcy Plan”) (defined below), as well as the Government Entity Direct Shareholders Settlement Agreement (“GESA”), and constitutes as a “State-Subdivision Agreement” as defined in the GESA, which is a “Statewide Opioids Settlement Agreement” as defined in N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 25.18(a)(8);

Whereas, the people of the State of New York and its communities have been harmed by misfeasance, nonfeasance, and malfeasance committed by Purdue Pharma, L.P., as well as the entities, individuals, and companies released as part of the *In re: Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-shl (Bankr. S.D.N.Y) (“Purdue”) and the GESA;

Whereas, the State of New York and certain New York Subdivisions are engaged in litigation, seeking to hold Purdue accountable for the damage caused by their misfeasance, nonfeasance, and malfeasance; and

Whereas, the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions share a common desire to abate and alleviate the impacts of the misfeasance, nonfeasance, and malfeasance of Purdue throughout the State of New York;

Now therefore, the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions enter into this Agreement relating to the allocation, distribution, and use of the proceeds received as a result of the confirmed Purdue Bankruptcy Plan (as defined below).

I. DEFINITIONS

- A. “Approved Uses” means any opioid or substance use disorder related projects or programs that fall within the list of uses in Schedule D.
- B. “Lead State Agency” means the New York State Office of Addiction Services and Supports. As provided for in Section V, The Lead State Agency will coordinate with the New York Department of Health, the New York Office of Mental Health, and the New York Division of Housing and Community Renewal, as well as other agencies, to expend and oversee funds from the Purdue Bankruptcy Plan deposited into the Opioid Settlement Fund.
- C. The “Advisory Board” means the advisory board created and described by N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 25.18(c).
- D. “Direct Share Subdivision” means every county of the State of New York other than the County of Nassau, the County of Suffolk, and the City of New York.
- E. “Fees and Costs Payment” means the portion of the Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds paid to

States pursuant to Section 5.9 of the Plan and Article 9 of the GESA.

- F. "Large New York Cities" means New York cities other than New York City with a 2020 population of more than 90,000 – *i.e.*, the cities of Albany, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse and Yonkers.
- G. "New York Subdivisions" means each county, city, town, village or special district in New York.
- H. "Parties" means the State of New York and the New York Subdivisions who execute this agreement.
- I. "Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds" shall mean the monetary amounts obtained by the State of New York from the Purdue Bankruptcy Plan and the GESA.
- J. "Opioid Settlement Fund" means the fund created by Section IV, which will be used or distributed in accordance with Section IV and this Agreement.

II. GENERAL FINANCIAL AND STRUCTURE TERMS

- A. **Scope of Agreement.** This Agreement applies to New York State's share of the Remediation Payment and the Fees and Costs Payment from the Purdue Bankruptcy Plan and the GESA.
- B. **Allocation and Distribution of Funds for Restitution and Abatement.** With the exception of (i) \$10 million each to be paid to Nassau and Suffolk Counties in accordance with Schedule E for spending on Approved Uses¹, (ii) as well as New York's share of the Fees and Costs Payment, 100% of which shall be distributed to the Lead State Agency to be placed in the Opioid Settlement Fund for Discretionary Spending on Approved Uses, all Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds shall be allocated and distributed as follows, with all percentages calculated using a denominator of New York's share of the Remediation Payment.
 - 1. **16.39%** to the Lead State Agency to be placed in the Opioid Settlement Fund for Regional Spending on Approved Uses. In combination, the amount of Regional Spending of the Opioid Settlement Fund committed to the Large New York Cities shall not be less than 1.89% of the total Opioid Settlement Funds and the amount committed to the other litigating municipalities listed in Schedule C shall not be less than 0.34%.
 - 2. **33.33%** to the Lead State Agency to be placed in the Opioid Settlement Fund for Discretionary Spending on Approved Uses and for Administration of the Opioid Settlement Fund.

¹ Nassau and Suffolk Counties or their counsel will communicate to the Purdue Settlement Administrator that such payments shall be made to Nassau County's counsel, Napoli Shkolnik PLLC, and Suffolk County's Counsel, Simmons Hanly Conroy LLC, pursuant to wire instructions to be provided.

3. **10.80%** to the Direct Share Subdivisions for spending on Approved Uses ("Direct Restricted Funds").
 4. **6.68%** to the County of Nassau for spending on Approved Uses.
 5. **8.63%** to the County of Suffolk for spending on Approved Uses.
 6. **0.69%** to the Large New York Cities for spending on Approved Uses ("Large New York Cities Restricted Funds").
 7. **20.00%** to the City of New York for spending on Approved Uses.
- C. **Execution of Releases.** Every New York Subdivision that is entitled to Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds under this Agreement that has filed suit against Purdue (as defined above) must timely execute and submit a release under the Purdue Bankruptcy Plan or lose its entitlement to all shares of the Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds, whether distributed directly to them or through the Opioids Settlement Fund by the Lead State Agency.
- D. **Redistribution in Certain Situations.** In the event a New York Subdivision merges, dissolves, or ceases to exist, the allocation percentage(s) for that New York Subdivision shall be redistributed equitably based on the composition of the successor New York Subdivision. If a New York Subdivision for any reason is excluded from receiving Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds, including because it does not execute and submit a release as required by Section II.C, the allocation percentage(s) for that New York Subdivision shall be redistributed equitably among the remaining participating New York Subdivisions.
- E. **Direct Payment of Certain Funds.** All Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds allocated to the Direct Share Subdivisions, the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, the Large New York Cities and the City of New York pursuant to Sections II.B.3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 shall be paid directly and as promptly as reasonably practicable to the Direct Share Subdivisions, the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, the Large New York Cities, and the City of New York, respectively.
- F. **Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.** Unless state law or the applicable Statewide Opioid Settlement Agreement provides otherwise, Attorneys' fees and expenses will be determined and paid according to each Direct Share Subdivision's and New York Subdivision's contracts with its respective counsel.

III. THE DIRECT SHARE SUBDIVISION AND CITY OF NEW YORK FUNDS

- A. **Distribution of the Direct Share Subdivision Funds.** The Direct Restricted Funds shall be paid to the Direct Share Subdivisions and will be fully distributed among them pursuant to the allocation set forth in Schedule A to this Agreement. The Large New York Cities Restricted Funds shall be paid to the Large New York Cities and will be fully distributed among them pursuant to the allocation set forth in Schedule B to this Agreement.
- B. **Certification of Spending on Approved Uses.** Each year, the Direct Share Subdivisions, the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, the Large New York Cities, and the City of New York shall

certify to the Lead State Agency and the Advisory Board that all funds distributed to them pursuant to Sections II.B.3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of this Agreement, as well as any interest earned on those funds, which were spent during the preceding year, were spent on projects and programs that constitute Approved Uses. These certifications shall be made by August 1 of each year following the year in which such funds were spent and shall be accompanied by a detailed accounting of the spending of such funds as well as analysis and evaluation of the projects and programs they have funded.

IV. THE OPIOID SETTLEMENT FUND

A. Establishment of the Opioid Settlement Fund.

1. Each year the Lead State Agency will allocate approximately **32.96%** of the Opioid Settlement Fund (16.39% of the total Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds) for Approved Uses in the various regions, Large New York Cities and other litigating municipalities of New York State, except New York City and the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk, pursuant to a commitment to spend in each the corresponding percentages shown in Schedule C. Of this amount, at least 1.89% of the total Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds received by New York shall be set aside for Large New York Cities, and at least 0.34% of the total Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds received by New York shall be set aside for the other litigating municipalities, as listed in Schedule C. Each New York Subdivision other than New York City and the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk may apply for and receive funds from the Opioid Settlement Fund, provided however, that each such Subdivision shall, as a condition to the receipt of these funds, certify at the end of each fiscal year during which it receives such funds that all funds provided to it under this provision of the Agreement, as well as any interest earned on such funds, were spent on projects and programs that constitute Approved Uses and provided that it complies with the reporting requirements set forth in Section IV.D.
2. Each year the Lead State Agency will set aside approximately **67.04%** of the Opioid Settlement Fund (33.33% of the total Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds) for spending by the Lead State Agency to (a) fund State projects that constitute Approved Uses, and (b) carry out the duties of the Lead State Agency and Advisory Board under this Agreement, including oversight and administration of the Opioid Settlement Fund and the Advisory Board. No more than 5% of the total Opioid Settlement Fund may be used in any fiscal year for oversight and administrative costs of the Opioid Settlement Fund and the Advisory Board.

- B. Approved Uses.** The Approved Uses are set forth in Schedule D below. The Advisory Board may recommend to the Legislature adding or removing Approved Uses in response to changing substance use disorder needs in the state. The Advisory Board may not recommend that Approved Uses be removed from the list of Approved Uses without the vote of three-fourths of the present members of the Advisory Board. Funds required to be spent on "Approved Uses" must be used to increase or supplement the resources of the receiving local government or agency that are spent on Approved Uses. Such funds shall not be used to

replace or supplant the government's or agency's appropriated resources. In determining whether supplantation has occurred, the Lead State Agency shall examine the government's or agency's budget as a whole to ensure that all Purdue Opioid Settlement Funds increase the entire Approved Use budget for the government or agency.

- C. **Oversight and Auditing.** The Lead State Agency will engage in oversight and audits of projects and programs funded through the Opioid Settlement Fund.
- D. **New York Subdivision Reporting.** Each New York Subdivision that receives funds from the Opioid Settlement Fund under this Agreement will annually provide to the Lead State Agency and Advisory Board a detailed accounting of the spending of such funds as well as analysis and evaluation of the projects and programs it has funded. Such accounting shall be provided by August 1 of each year following the year in which such funds were spent. The Lead Agency may withhold future funds from any New York Subdivision that is delinquent in providing this reporting, until the required report is submitted.
- E. **Lead Agency Reporting.** The Lead State Agency and other relevant government commissioners, in consultation with the Advisory Board, will annually provide the Governor, Speaker of the Assembly, the Temporary President of the Senate, and other legislative leaders as provided by law, a written report, which, among other things, provides a detailed accounting of the previous year's spending of all monies in the Opioid Settlement Fund, any spending by the Direct Share Subdivisions pursuant to Section II.B.3, any spending by the Counties of Nassau or Suffolk pursuant to Sections II.B.4 and 5, any spending by the Large New York Cities pursuant to Section II.B.6, and any spending by New York City pursuant to Section II.B.7, as well as an analysis and evaluation of the projects and programs so funded. This report shall be provided on or before November 1 of each year, beginning one year after the initial deposit of monies in the Opioid Settlement Fund. At the same time, in consultation with the Advisory Board, the Lead State Agency will report annually the results of research funded by funds from this Agreement, the status of any outstanding audits, and the non-binding recommendations of the Advisory Board.


V. THE ROLE OF THE ADVISORY BOARD

The Advisory Board established pursuant N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 25.18(c) will constitute the Advisory Board for this agreement.

VI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

The Supreme Court, County of Suffolk, shall retain jurisdiction of the Parties for the purpose of this Agreement, including its interpretation and enforcement.

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General of the State of New York

By: 
Jennifer Levy, First Deputy Attorney General
Office of the New York State Attorney General
28 Liberty Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
Tel: 212-416-8450
Jennifer.Levy@ag.ny.gov

Date: // 2025

Counsel for The People of the State of New York

ADDITIONAL SIGNATORIES:

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Date: _____

Counsel for _____

Schedule A

Allegany	0.492651319%
Cattaraugus	0.885804166%
Chautauqua	1.712744591%
Erie	13.981832649%
Niagara	3.416877066%
Western Region	20.489909791%

Genesee	0.710630089%
Livingston	0.678797077%
Monroe	9.384433024%
Ontario	1.309944722%
Orleans	0.412856571%
Seneca	0.386847050%
Wayne	0.994089249%
Wyoming	0.411657124%
Yates	0.247909288%
Finger Lakes Region	14.537164194%

Broome	2.790673871%
Chemung	1.231939720%
Chenango	0.516475286%
Delaware	0.549364256%
Schuyler	0.208248729%
Steuben	1.137138754%
Tioga	0.542347836%
Tompkins	1.177586745%
Southern Tier Region	8.153775199%

Cayuga	0.903523653%
Cortland	0.541036257%
Madison	0.810595101%
Onondaga	6.323758786%
Oswego	1.549495093%
Central NY Region	10.128408890%

Fulton	0.462070473%
Herkimer	0.658308079%
Montgomery	0.453395949%
Oneida	2.826733181%
Otsego	0.670962131%
Schoharie	0.277769778%
Mohawk Valley Region	5.349239592%

Clinton	0.831513299%
---------	--------------

Essex	0.367293246%
Franklin	0.457353060%
Hamilton	0.030269643%
Jefferson	1.273686826%
Lewis	0.251124198%
St. Lawrence	1.234262202%
North Country Region	4.445502475%

Albany	2.791375201%
Columbia	0.656790382%
Greene	0.793267678%
Rensselaer	1.270734936%
Saratoga	1.679317072%
Schenectady	1.217397796%
Warren	0.612162823%
Washington	0.479903545%
Capital Region	9.500949434%

Dutchess	4.381104459%
Orange	5.187725669%
Putnam	1.184886753%
Rockland	3.081816868%
Sullivan	1.888626559%
Ulster	2.462996041%
Westchester	9.207894077%
Mid-Hudson Region	27.395050426%

Schedule B

<u>Albany</u>	<u>6.69566439%</u>
<u>Buffalo</u>	<u>33.53818545%</u>
<u>Rochester</u>	<u>22.51041501%</u>
<u>Syracuse</u>	<u>15.16878370%</u>
<u>Yonkers</u>	<u>22.08695145%</u>

Schedule C

<u>Western Region</u>	<u>17.702081918%</u>
<u>Finger Lakes Region</u>	<u>12.559258389%</u>
<u>Southern Tier Region</u>	<u>7.044384186%</u>
<u>Central NY Region</u>	<u>8.750352037%</u>
<u>Mohawk Valley Region</u>	<u>4.621429690%</u>
<u>North Country Region</u>	<u>3.840653755%</u>
<u>Capital Region</u>	<u>8.208263818%</u>
<u>Mid-Hudson Region</u>	<u>23.667718977%</u>
<u>Albany</u>	<u>0.772105290%</u>
<u>Buffalo</u>	<u>3.867429560%</u>
<u>Rochester</u>	<u>2.595770859%</u>
<u>Syracuse</u>	<u>1.749176400%</u>
<u>Yonkers</u>	<u>2.546939490%</u>
<u>Amherst Town</u>	<u>0.245448607%</u>
<u>Amsterdam City</u>	<u>0.044507465%</u>
<u>Auburn City</u>	<u>0.141444557%</u>
<u>Cheektowaga Town</u>	<u>0.060164531%</u>
<u>Geneva City</u>	<u>0.058136132%</u>
<u>Herkimer Village</u>	<u>0.025864082%</u>
<u>Ithaca City</u>	<u>0.119355968%</u>
<u>Lackawanna City</u>	<u>0.034046116%</u>
<u>Lancaster Town</u>	<u>0.039745967%</u>
<u>Mount Vernon City</u>	<u>0.076705358%</u>
<u>Ogdensburg City</u>	<u>0.033771645%</u>
<u>Plattsburgh City</u>	<u>0.049991967%</u>
<u>Poughkeepsie City</u>	<u>0.222941118%</u>
<u>Rome City</u>	<u>0.116809770%</u>
<u>Saratoga Springs City</u>	<u>0.105585390%</u>
<u>Schenectady City</u>	<u>0.123453584%</u>

Tonawanda Town	0.063690259%
Troy City	0.179747858%
Utica City	0.333025258%

Schedule D – Approved Uses

I. TREATMENT

A. TREAT OPIOID USE DISORDER (OUD)

Support treatment of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) and any co-occurring Substance Use Disorder or Mental Health (SUD/MH) conditions through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Expand availability of treatment for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including all forms of Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
2. Support and reimburse services that include the full American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) continuum of care for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including but not limited to:
 - a. Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT);
 - b. Abstinence-based treatment;
 - c. Treatment, recovery, or other services provided by states, subdivisions, community health centers; non-for-profit providers; or for-profit providers;
 - d. Treatment by providers that focus on OUD treatment as well as treatment by providers that offer OUD treatment along with treatment for other SUD/MH conditions; or
 - e. Evidence-informed residential services programs, as noted below.
3. Expand telehealth to increase access to treatment for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including MAT, as well as counseling, psychiatric support, and other treatment and recovery support services.
4. Improve oversight of Opioid Treatment Programs (OTPs) to assure evidence-based, evidence-informed or promising practices such as adequate methadone dosing and low threshold approaches to treatment.
5. Support mobile intervention, treatment, and recovery services, offered by qualified professionals and service providers, such as peer recovery coaches, for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions and for persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.
6. Treatment of mental health trauma resulting from the traumatic experiences of the opioid user (e.g., violence, sexual assault, human trafficking, or adverse childhood experiences) and family members (e.g., surviving family members after an overdose or overdose fatality), and training of health care personnel to identify and address such trauma.
7. Support detoxification (detox) and withdrawal management services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including medical detox, referral to treatment, or connections to other services or supports.

8. Training for MAT for health care providers, students, or other supporting professionals, such as peer recovery coaches or recovery outreach specialists, including telementoring to assist community-based providers in rural or underserved areas.
9. Support workforce development for addiction professionals who work with persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
10. Fellowships for addiction medicine specialists for direct patient care, instructors, and clinical research for treatments.
11. Scholarships and supports for certified addiction counselors and other mental and behavioral health providers involved in addressing OUD any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including but not limited to training, scholarships, fellowships, loan repayment programs, or other incentives for providers to work in rural or underserved areas.
12. Scholarships for persons to become certified addiction counselors, licensed alcohol and drug counselors, licensed clinical social workers, and licensed mental health counselors practicing in the SUD field, and scholarships for certified addiction counselors, licensed alcohol and drug counselors, licensed clinical social workers, and licensed mental health counselors practicing in the SUD field for continuing education and licensing fees.
13. Provide funding and training for clinicians to obtain a waiver under the federal Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000 (DATA 2000) to prescribe MAT for OUD and provide technical assistance and professional support for clinicians who have obtained a DATA 2000 waiver.
14. Dissemination of web-based training curricula, such as the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry's Provider Clinical Support Service-Opioids web-based training curriculum and motivational interviewing.
15. Development and dissemination of new curricula, such as the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry's Provider Clinical Support Service for Medication-Assisted Treatment.

B. SUPPORT PEOPLE IN TREATMENT AND RECOVERY

Support people in treatment for and recovery from OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Provide the full continuum of care of recovery services for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including supportive housing, residential treatment, medical detox services, peer support services and counseling, community navigators, case management, transportation, and connections to community-based services.
2. Provide counseling, peer-support, recovery case management and residential treatment with access to medications for those who need it to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

3. Provide access to housing for people with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including supportive housing, recovery housing, housing assistance programs, or training for housing providers.
4. Provide community support services, including social and legal services, to assist in deinstitutionalizing persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
5. Support or expand peer-recovery centers, which may include support groups, social events, computer access, or other services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
6. Provide or support transportation to treatment or recovery programs or services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
7. Provide employment training or educational services for persons in treatment for or recovery from OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
8. Identifying successful recovery programs such as physician, pilot, and college recovery programs, and providing support and technical assistance to increase the number and capacity of high-quality programs to help those in recovery.
9. Engaging non-profits, faith-based communities, and community coalitions to support people in treatment and recovery and to support family members in their efforts to manage the opioid user in the family.
10. Training and development of procedures for government staff to appropriately interact and provide social and other services to current and recovering opioid users, including reducing stigma.
11. Support stigma reduction efforts regarding treatment and support for persons with OUD, including reducing the stigma on effective treatment.
12. Create or support culturally-appropriate services and programs for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including new Americans.
13. Create and/or support recovery high schools.

C. CONNECT PEOPLE WHO NEED HELP TO THE HELP THEY NEED (CONNECTIONS TO CARE)

Provide connections to care for people who have – or at risk of developing – OUD and any cooccurring SUD/MH conditions through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Ensure that health care providers are screening for OUD and other risk factors and know how to appropriately counsel and treat (or refer if necessary) a patient for OUD treatment.

2. Fund Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) programs to reduce the transition from use to disorders.
3. Provide training and long-term implementation of SBIRT in key systems (health, schools, colleges, criminal justice, and probation), with a focus on youth and young adults when transition from misuse to opioid disorder is most common.
4. Purchase automated versions of SBIRT and support ongoing costs of the technology.
5. Training for emergency room personnel treating opioid overdose patients on post-discharge planning, including community referrals for MAT, recovery case management or support services.
6. Support hospital programs that transition persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, or persons who have experienced an opioid overdose, into community treatment or recovery services through a bridge clinic or similar approach.
7. Support crisis stabilization centers that serve as an alternative to hospital emergency departments for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or persons that have experienced an opioid overdose.
8. Support the work of Emergency Medical Systems, including peer support specialists, to connect individuals to treatment or other appropriate services following an opioid overdose or other opioid-related adverse event.
9. Provide funding for peer support specialists or recovery coaches in emergency departments, detox facilities, recovery centers, recovery housing, or similar settings; offer services, supports, or connections to care to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or to persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.
10. Provide funding for peer navigators, recovery coaches, care coordinators, or care managers that offer assistance to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or to persons who have experienced on opioid overdose.
11. Create or support school-based contacts that parents can engage with to seek immediate treatment services for their child; and supporting prevention, intervention, treatment, and recovery programs focused on young people.
12. Develop and support best practices on addressing OUD in the workplace.
13. Support assistance programs for health care providers with OUD.
14. Engage non-profits and faith community as a system to support outreach for treatment.
15. Support centralized call centers that provide information and connections to appropriate services and supports for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

16. Create or support intake and call centers to facilitate education and access to treatment, prevention, and recovery services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
17. Develop or support a National Treatment Availability Clearinghouse – a multistate/nationally accessible database whereby health care providers can list locations for currently available in-patient and out-patient OUD treatment services that are accessible on a real-time basis by persons who seek treatment.

D. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF CRIMINAL-JUSTICE INVOLVED PERSONS

Address the needs of persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are involved – or are at risk of becoming involved – in the criminal justice system through evidence-based, evidence-informed or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Support pre-arrest and pre-arraignment diversion and deflection strategies for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including established strategies such as:
 - a. Self-referral strategies such as the Angel Programs or the Police Assisted Addiction Recovery Initiative (PAARI);
 - b. Active outreach strategies such as the Drug Abuse Response Team (DART) model;
 - c. “Naloxone Plus” strategies, which work to ensure that individuals who have received Naloxone to reverse the effects of an overdose are then linked to treatment programs or other appropriate services;
 - d. Officer prevention strategies, such as the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) model; or
 - e. Officer intervention strategies such as the Leon County, Florida Adult Civil Citation Network or the Chicago Westside Narcotics Diversion to Treatment Initiative; or
 - f. Co-responder and/or alternative responder models to address OUD-related 911 calls with greater SUD expertise and to reduce perceived barriers associated with law enforcement 911 responses.
2. Support pre-trial services that connect individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, and related services.
3. Support treatment and recovery courts for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, but only if they provide referrals to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT.
4. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, harm reduction, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are incarcerated in jail or prison.
5. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, harm reduction, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are leaving jail or prison, who have recently left jail or prison, are on probation or parole, are under community corrections supervision, or are in re-entry programs or facilities.

6. Support critical time interventions (CTI), particularly for individuals living with dual-diagnosis OUD/serious mental illness, and services for individuals who face immediate risks and service needs and risks upon release from correctional settings.
7. Provide training on best practices for addressing the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions to law enforcement, correctional, or judicial personnel or to providers of treatment, recovery, harm reduction, case management, or other services offered in connection with any of the strategies described in this section.

E. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF PREGNANT OR PARENTING WOMEN AND THEIR FAMILIES, INCLUDING BABIES WITH NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME

Address the needs of pregnant or parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, and the needs of their families, including babies with neonatal abstinence syndrome, through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Support evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising treatment, including MAT, recovery services and supports, and prevention services for pregnant women – or women who could become pregnant – who have OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, and other measures educate and provide support to families affected by Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome.
2. Training for obstetricians and other healthcare personnel that work with pregnant women and their families regarding OUD treatment and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
3. Provide training to health care providers who work with pregnant or parenting women on best practices for compliance with federal requirements that children born with Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome get referred to appropriate services and receive a plan of safe care.
4. Child and family supports for parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
5. Enhanced family supports and child care services for parents with OUD and any cooccurring SUD/MH conditions.
6. Provide enhanced support for children and family members suffering trauma as a result of addiction in the family; and offer trauma-informed behavioral health treatment for adverse childhood events.
7. Offer home-based wrap-around services to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including but not limited to parent skills training.
8. Support for Children's Services – Fund additional positions and services, including supportive housing and other residential services, relating to children being removed from the home and/or placed in foster care due to custodial opioid use.

II. PREVENTION

F. PREVENT OVER-PRESCRIBING AND ENSURE APPROPRIATE PRESCRIBING AND DISPENSING OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to prevent over-prescribing and ensure appropriate prescribing and dispensing of opioids through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Training for health care providers regarding safe and responsible opioid prescribing, dosing, and tapering patients off opioids.
2. Academic counter-detailing to educate prescribers on appropriate opioids prescribing.
3. Continuing Medical Education (CME) on appropriate prescribing of opioids.
4. Support for non-opioid pain treatment alternatives, including training providers to offer or refer to multi-modal, evidence-informed treatment of pain.
5. Support enhancements or improvements to Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs), including but not limited to improvements that:
 - a. Increase the number of prescribers using PDMPs;
 - b. Improve point-of-care decision-making by increasing the quantity, quality, or format of data available to prescribers using PDMPs, by improving the interface that prescribers use to access PDMP data, or both; or
 - c. Enable states to use PDMP data in support of surveillance or intervention strategies, including MAT referrals and follow-up for individuals identified within PDMP data as likely to experience OUD.
6. Development and implementation of a national PDMP – Fund development of a multistate/national PDMP that permits information sharing while providing appropriate safeguards on sharing of private health information, including but not limited to:
 - a. Integration of PDMP data with electronic health records, overdose episodes, and decision support tools for health care providers relating to OUD.
 - b. Ensuring PDMPs incorporate available overdose/naloxone deployment data, including the United States Department of Transportation's Emergency Medical Technician overdose database.
7. Increase electronic prescribing to prevent diversion or forgery.
8. Educating Dispensers on appropriate opioid dispensing.

G. PREVENT MISUSE OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to discourage or prevent misuse of opioids through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Corrective advertising or affirmative public education campaigns based on evidence.
2. Public education relating to drug disposal.
3. Drug take-back disposal or destruction programs.
4. Fund community anti-drug coalitions that engage in drug prevention efforts.
5. Support community coalitions in implementing evidence-informed prevention, such as reduced social access and physical access, stigma reduction – including staffing, educational campaigns, support for people in treatment or recovery, or training of coalitions in evidence-informed implementation, including the Strategic Prevention Framework developed by the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).
6. Engaging non-profits and faith community as a system to support prevention.
7. Support evidence-informed school and community education programs and campaigns for students, families, school employees, school athletic programs, parent-teacher and student associations, and others.
8. School-based or youth-focused programs or strategies that have demonstrated effectiveness in preventing drug misuse and seem likely to be effective in preventing the uptake and use of opioids.
9. Support community-based education or intervention services for families, youth, and adolescents at risk for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
10. Support evidence-informed programs or curricula to address mental health needs of young people who may be at risk of misusing opioids or other drugs, including emotional modulation and resilience skills.
11. Support greater access to mental health services and supports for young people, including services and supports provided by school nurses or other school staff, to address mental health needs in young people that (when not properly addressed) increase the risk of opioid or other drug misuse.

H. PREVENT OVERDOSE DEATHS AND OTHER HARMS (HARM REDUCTION)

Support efforts to prevent or reduce overdose deaths or other opioid-related harms through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Increasing availability and distribution of naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses to first responders, overdose patients, opioid users, families and friends of opioid users, schools, community navigators and outreach workers, drug offenders upon release from jail/prison, and other members of the general public.
2. Public health entities provide free naloxone to anyone in the community, including but not limited to provision of intra-nasal naloxone in settings where other options are not available or allowed.
3. Training and education regarding naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses for first responders, overdose patients, patients taking opioids, families, schools, and other members of the general public.
4. Enable school nurses and other school staff to respond to opioid overdoses, and provide them with naloxone, training, and support.
5. Expand, improve, or develop data tracking software and applications for overdoses/naloxone revivals.
6. Public education relating to emergency responses to overdoses.
7. Public education relating to immunity and Good Samaritan laws.
8. Educate first responders regarding the existence and operation of immunity and Good Samaritan laws.
9. Syringe service programs and other evidence-informed programs to reduce harms associated with intravenous drug use, including supplies, staffing, space, peer support services, referrals to treatment, fentanyl checking, connections to care, and the full range of harm reduction and treatment services provided by these programs.
10. Expand access to testing and treatment for infectious diseases such as HIV and Hepatitis C resulting from intravenous opioid use.
11. Support mobile units that offer or provide referrals to harm reduction services, treatment, recovery supports, health care, or other appropriate services to persons that use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
12. Provide training in harm reduction strategies to health care providers, students, peer recovery coaches, recovery outreach specialists, or other professionals that provide care to persons who use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.
13. Support screening for fentanyl in routine clinical toxicology testing.

III. OTHER STRATEGIES

I. FIRST RESPONDERS

In addition to items C8, D1 through D7, H1, H3, and H8, support the following:

1. Law enforcement expenditures related to the opioid epidemic
2. Educate law enforcement or other first responders regarding appropriate practices and precautions when dealing with fentanyl or other drugs.
3. Provisions of wellness and support services for first responders and others who experience secondary trauma associated with opioid-related emergency events.

J. LEADERSHIP, PLANNING AND COORDINATION

Support efforts to provide leadership, planning, and coordination to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Community regional planning to identify goals for reducing harms related to the opioid epidemic, to identify areas and populations with the greatest needs for treatment intervention services, or to support other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list including, but not limited to costs associated with local opioid task forces, community buprenorphine waiver trainings, and coordination and operation of community-based treatment prevention programming.
2. A government dashboard to track key opioid-related indicators and supports as identified through collaborative community processes.
3. Invest in infrastructure or staffing at government or not-for-profit agencies to support collaborative, cross-system coordination with the purpose of preventing overprescribing, opioid misuse, or opioid overdoses, treating those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, supporting them in treatment or recovery, connecting them to care, or implementing other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list.
4. Provide resources to staff government oversight and management of opioid abatement programs.

K. TRAINING

In addition to the training referred to in items above A7, A8, A9, A12, A13, A14, A15, B7, B10, C3, C5, E2, E4, F1, F3, F8, G5, H3, H12, and I2, support training to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Provide funding for staff training or network programs and services regarding the capability of government, community, and not-for-profit entities to abate the opioid crisis.
2. Support infrastructure and staffing for collaborative cross-systems coordination to prevent opioid misuse, prevent overdoses, and treat those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, or implement other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list (e.g., health care, primary care, pharmacies, PDMPs, etc.).

L. RESEARCH

Support opioid abatement research that may include, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Monitoring, surveillance, and evaluation of programs and strategies described in this opioid abatement strategy list.
2. Research non-opioid treatment of chronic pain.
3. Research improved service delivery for modalities such as SBIRT that demonstrate promising but mixed results in populations vulnerable to opioid use disorders.
4. Research on novel harm reduction and prevention efforts such as the provision of fentanyl test strips.
5. Research on innovative supply-side enforcement efforts such as improved detection of mail-based delivery of synthetic opioids.
6. Expanded research on swift/certain/fair models to reduce and deter opioid misuse within criminal justice populations that build upon promising approaches used to address other substances (e.g. Hawaii HOPE and Dakota 24/7).
7. Research on expanded modalities such as prescription methadone that can expand access to MAT.
8. Epidemiological surveillance of OUD-related behaviors in critical populations including individuals entering the criminal justice system, including but not limited to approaches modeled on the Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring (ADAM) system.
9. Qualitative and quantitative research regarding public health risks and harm reduction opportunities within illicit drug markets, including surveys of market participants who sell or distribute illicit opioids.
10. Geospatial analysis of access barriers to MAT and their association with treatment engagement and treatment outcomes.

M. POST-MORTEM

1. Toxicology tests for the range of synthetic opioids presently seen in overdose deaths as well as newly evolving synthetic opioids infiltrating the drug supply.
2. Toxicology method development and method validation for the range of synthetic opioids observed now and in the future, including the cost of installation, maintenance, repairs and training of capital equipment.
3. Autopsies in cases of overdose deaths resulting from opioids and synthetic opioids.

4. Additional storage space/facilities for bodies directly related to opioid or synthetic opioid related deaths.
5. Comprehensive death investigations for individuals where a death is caused by or suspected to have been caused by an opioid or synthetic opioid overdose, whether intentional or accidental.
6. Indigent burial for unclaimed remains resulting from overdose deaths.
7. Navigation-to-care services for individuals with opioid use disorder who are encountered by the medical examiner's office as either family and/or social network members of decedents dying of opioid overdose.
8. Epidemiologic data management and reporting to public health and public safety stakeholders regarding opioid overdose fatalities.

Schedule E

Payment	Amount (\$)
1 (Emergence)	\$4,869,965.11
2	\$2,334,284.81
3	\$2,795,750.08

EXHIBIT

B

EXHIBIT K

Subdivision Participation and Release Form

Governmental Entity:	State:
Authorized Official:	
Address 1:	
Address 2:	
City, State, Zip:	
Phone:	
Email:	

The governmental entity identified above ("*Governmental Entity*"), in order to obtain and in consideration for the benefits provided to the Governmental Entity pursuant to that certain Governmental Entity & Shareholder Direct Settlement Agreement accompanying this participation form (the "*Agreement*")¹, and acting through the undersigned authorized official, hereby elects to participate in the Agreement, grant the releases set forth below, and agrees as follows.

1. The Governmental Entity is aware of and has reviewed the Agreement, and agrees that by executing this Participation and Release Form, the Governmental Entity elects to participate in the Agreement and become a Participating Subdivision as provided therein.
2. The Governmental Entity shall promptly after the Effective Date, and prior to the filing of the Consent Judgment, dismiss with prejudice any Shareholder Released Claims and Released Claims that it has filed. With respect to any Shareholder Released Claims and Released Claims pending in *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, MDL No. 2804, the Governmental Entity authorizes the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee to execute and file on behalf of the Governmental Entity a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice substantially in the form found at <https://nationalopioidsettlement.com>.
3. The Governmental Entity agrees to the terms of the Agreement pertaining to Participating Subdivisions as defined therein.
4. By agreeing to the terms of the Agreement and becoming a Releasor, the Governmental Entity is entitled to the benefits provided therein, including, if applicable, monetary payments beginning following the Effective Date.
5. The Governmental Entity agrees to use any monies it receives through the Agreement solely for the purposes provided therein.
6. The Governmental Entity submits to the jurisdiction of the court in the Governmental Entity's state where the Consent Judgment is filed for purposes limited to that court's role as and to the extent provided in, and for resolving disputes to the extent provided in, the

¹ Capitalized terms used in this Exhibit K but not otherwise defined in this Exhibit K have the meanings given to them in the Agreement or, if not defined in the Agreement, the Master Settlement Agreement.

Agreement. The Governmental Entity likewise agrees to arbitrate before the National Arbitration Panel as provided in, and for resolving disputes to the extent otherwise provided in, the Agreement.

7. The Governmental Entity has the right to enforce the Agreement as provided therein.
8. The Governmental Entity, as a Participating Subdivision, hereby becomes a Releasor for all purposes in the Agreement, including without limitation all provisions of Article 10 (Release), and along with all departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, and any person in his or her official capacity whether elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, and any other entity identified in the definition of Subdivision Releasor, to the maximum extent of its authority, for good and valuable consideration, the adequacy of which is hereby confirmed, the Shareholder Released Parties and Released Parties are, as of the Effective Date, hereby released and forever discharged by the Governmental Entity and its Subdivision Releasors from: any and all Causes of Action, including, without limitation, any Estate Cause of Action and any claims that the Governmental Entity or its Subdivision Releasors would have presently or in the future been legally entitled to assert in its own right (whether individually or collectively), notwithstanding section 1542 of the California Civil Code or any law of any jurisdiction that is similar, comparable or equivalent thereto (which shall conclusively be deemed waived), whether existing or hereinafter arising, in each case, (A) directly or indirectly based on, arising out of, or in any way relating to or concerning, in whole or in part, (i) the Debtors, as such Entities existed prior to or after the Petition Date, and their Affiliates, (ii) the Estates, (iii) the Chapter 11 Cases, or (iv) Covered Conduct and (B) as to which any conduct, omission or liability of any Debtor or any Estate is the legal cause or is otherwise a legally relevant factor (each such release, as it pertains to the Shareholder Released Parties, the "Shareholder Released Claims", and as it pertains to the Released Parties other than the Shareholder Released Parties, the "Released Claims"). For the avoidance of doubt and without limiting the foregoing: the Shareholder Released Claims and Released Claims include any Cause of Action that has been or may be asserted against any Shareholder Released Party or Released Party by the Governmental Entity or its Subdivision Releasors (whether or not such party has brought such action or proceeding) in any federal, state, or local action or proceeding (whether judicial, arbitral, or administrative) (A) directly or indirectly based on, arising out of, or in any way relating to or concerning, in whole or in part, (i) the Debtors, as such Entities existed prior to or after the Petition Date, and their Affiliates, (ii) the Estates, (iii) the Chapter 11 Cases, or (iv) Covered Conduct and (B) as to which any conduct, omission or liability of any Debtor or any Estate is the legal cause or is otherwise a legally relevant factor.
9. As a Releasor, the Governmental Entity hereby absolutely, unconditionally, and irrevocably covenants not to bring, file, or claim, or to cause, assist or permit to be brought, filed, or claimed, or to otherwise seek to establish liability for any Shareholder Released Claims or Released Claims against any Shareholder Released Party or Released Party in any forum whatsoever, subject in all respects to Section 9.02 of the Master Settlement Agreement. The releases provided for herein (including the term "Shareholder Released

Claims” and “Released Claims”) are intended by the Governmental Entity and its Subdivision Releasors to be broad and shall be interpreted so as to give the Shareholder Released Parties and Released Parties the broadest possible release of any liability relating in any way to Shareholder Released Claims and Released Claims and extend to the full extent of the power of the Governmental Entity to release claims. The Agreement shall be a complete bar to any Shareholder Released Claim and Released Claims.

10. To the maximum extent of the Governmental Entity’s power, the Shareholder Released Parties and the Released Parties are, as of the Effective Date, hereby released and discharged from any and all Shareholder Released Claims and Released Claims of the Subdivision Releasors.
11. The Governmental Entity hereby takes on all rights and obligations of a Participating Subdivision as set forth in the Agreement.
12. In connection with the releases provided for in the Agreement, each Governmental Entity expressly waives, releases, and forever discharges any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

General Release; extent. A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

A Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which it knows, believes, or assumes to be true with respect to the Shareholder Released Claims or such other Claims released pursuant to this release, but each Governmental Entity hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles, releases and discharges, upon the Effective Date, any and all Shareholder Released Claims or such other Claims released pursuant to this release that may exist as of such date but which Releasors do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or through no fault whatsoever, and which, if known, would materially affect the Governmental Entities’ decision to participate in the Agreement.

13. Nothing herein is intended to modify in any way the terms of the Agreement, to which Governmental Entity hereby agrees. To the extent any portion of this Participation and Release Form not relating to the release of, or bar against, liability is interpreted differently from the Agreement in any respect, the Agreement controls.
14. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein or in the Agreement, (x) nothing herein shall (A) release any Excluded Claims or (B) be construed to impair in any way the rights and obligations of any Person under the Agreement; and (y) the Releases set forth herein shall be subject to being deemed void to the extent set forth in Section 9.02 of the Master Settlement Agreement.

I have all necessary power and authorization to execute this Participation and Release Form on behalf of the Governmental Entity.

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Vedat Gashi

Chairman of the Board
Legislator, 4th District



TO: Hon. Jewel Williams Johnson
Chair, Budget & Appropriations

Hon. Colin Smith
Chair, Law & Major Contracts

FROM: Hon. Vedat Gashi
Chairman of the Board

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Vedat Gashi'.

DATE: September 18, 2025

RE: **ACT – OPIOID LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT**

As Chairman of the Board of Legislators, I am placing the below items directly into the Committees on Budget & Appropriations and Law & Major Contracts.

Thank you.

(ID: 2025-405) **ACT – Opioid Settlement - Additional Manufacturers**

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against additional manufacturers Alvogen, Inc.; Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Apotex Inc.; Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; Indivior Inc.; Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; and Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA), Inc. in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, titled The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al., arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

(ID: 2025-406) **ACT - Opioid Settlement , Purdue/Sackler**

AN ACT authorizing the County of Westchester to settle the claims against Purdue Pharma LP ("Purdue") as set forth in the Purdue/Sackler bankruptcy settlement plan (the "Plan") in an adversary proceeding filed in the Supreme Court of the State of NY, Westchester County, titled The County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma, et al. arising out of the alleged abuse and misuse of opioids.

CC: Jill Axelrod
Marcello Figueroa
James Silverberg
Dylan Tragni
Sunday Vanderberg

Tel: (914) 995-2848 • Fax: (914) 995-3884 • E-mail: Gashi@westchesterlegislators.com